1	FILEDENTEREDENTEREDRECEIVED
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
4	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON, HER U.S. DISTRICT COURG AT SEATTLE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY
5	
6	BADEN SPORTS, INC.
7	No. C06-210MJP Plaintiff,
8	AZER DIGTE PODA
9	
	KABUSHIKI KAISHA MOLTEN (DBA) MOLTEN CORPORATION) and MOLTEN
10	U.S.A., INC.,
11	Defendants. 06-CV-00210-VRDCT
12	
13	
14	We, the Jury, make the following answers to the questions submitted by the Court:
15	A. Unfair Competition
16	Question No. 1
17	Has Baden Sports proven its claim for false advertising?
18	Answer "Yes" or "No"
19	Question No. 2
20	Was Molten Corp. and Molten USA's false advertising intentional?
21	Answer "Yes" or "No"
22	Question No. 3
23	What sum of money do you award to Baden Sports as damages for the false advertising claim?
24	Answer in dollars and cents: 48,054,579.00
25	
26	
	n

VERDICT FORM - 1

1	B. Patent Infringement
2	Question No. 4
3	Did Molten Corp. and Molten USA continue to offer for sale in the United States the same
4	basketball design found to infringe Baden's '835 patent?
5	Answer "Yes" or "No"
6	Question No. 5
7	What sum of money do you award Baden Sports as a reasonable royalty for patent infringement?
8	Answer in dollars and cents: 238, 03/.00
9	Question No. 6
10	Did Molten Corp. and Molten USA willfully infringe Baden's '835 patent?
11	Answer "Yes" or "No"
12	
13	SIGNED this <u>/</u> day of August, 2007.
14	Maritin Secon
15	(proting of C
16	JURY FOREPERSON
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	!