3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LODGED		(24)		
WAY H		•	64	
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT (2007) WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON THE SERVE				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BROOKS SPORTS, INC., a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

V,

PAYLESS SHOESOURCE, INC., a Missouri corporation; EXETER BRANDS GROUP, LLC, a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of New York; and NIKE, INC., an Oregon corporation,

Defendants.

Case No C 07-0695

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]



07-CV-00695-CMP

Plaintiff BROOKS SPORTS, INC. alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- BROOKS SPORTS, INC. (hereinafter "BROOKS" or "Plaintiff") is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business at 19910 North Creek Parkway, Suite 200, Bothell, Washington 98011.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant PAYLESS SHOESOURCE, INC. (hereinafter "PAYLESS"), is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business at 3231 SE 6th Street, Topcka, Kansas 66607.

COMPLAINT (Case No. ______) - Page 1

 12. BROOKS owns United States Trademark Registration No. 2,521,124, for a composite mark that contains its logo for clothing, namely shoes, boots, slippers, footwear, and various clothing items and accessories. An exemplar of the mark is shown below:



Plaintiff uses and promotes this mark in commerce in connection with its shoes, boots, slippers, footwear, and various clothing items and accessories. Plaintiff enjoys substantial goodwill in the mark owing to its lengthy and exclusive use of the mark.

13. BROOKS' logo trademark that is the subject of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,750,754, 2,550,943 and 2,521,124 is hereinafter referred to as "the BROOKS Logo."

DEFENDANTS' WRONGFUL ACTS

14. Upon information and belief, Defendants recently began manufacturing, promoting, offering for sale, and selling in this District and throughout the United States a new line of performance athletic shoes called TAILWIND. All styles of footwear in the TAILWIND line bear a design mark (the "Infringing Logo") which is substantially identical to the BROOKS Logo. An exemplar of a TAILWIND shoe bearing the Infringing Logo is shown below on the right:







TAILWIND

28 ||

15. On January 24, 2007, Defendant NIKE filed United States Trademark Application Serial No. 77/090465 for a composite mark that contains the Infringing Logo for footwear and apparel. On January 24, 2007 Defendant NIKE filed United States Trademark Application Serial No. 77/090,390 for the same composite mark for eyewear, watches, bags, sports equipment, retail services and many other goods and services. NIKE's Application Serial Nos. 77/090,465 and 77/090,390 are hereinafter referred to as "NIKE's Trademark Applications." An exemplar of the composite mark is shown below:



- 16. Using the Infringing Logo, Defendants offer for sale and sell identical goods, such as athletic shoes, as Plaintiff offers for sale and sells under the BROOKS Logo.
- 17. The TAILWIND line of shoes bearing the Infringing Logo is being substantially advertised, promoted, offered for sale and sold in hundreds of stores across the United States, including in the Scattle, Washington area, as well as on the Internet.
- 18. Defendants' goods bearing the Infringing Logo are offered in the same channels of trade as Plaintiff's goods bearing the BROOKS Logo.
- 19. BROOKS has not consented to Defendants' use of the Infringing Logo, nor has BROOKS sponsored, endorsed or approved the goods or services offered or promoted by Defendants.
- 20. Defendants' unauthorized use of the Infringing Logo is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, and to deceive an appreciable number of reasonably prudent customers and prospective customers into falsely believing that Defendants' goods are provided, sponsored or approved by BROOKS or that there is a connection or affiliation between BROOKS and Defendants.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants deliberately employ a mark substantially identical to the BROOKS Logo to mislead and confuse consumers into believing that Defendants' goods are provided, sponsored, or approved by BROOKS.

COMPLAINT (Case No. _____) - Page 6

COMPLAINT (Case No. _____) - Page 7

1	10. Such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
2	Respectfully submitted,
3	TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
4	
5	Dated: May 4, 2007. By Fun Or Parmeter
6	Steven W. Parmelee, WA Bar # 15016 Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP
7	1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4400 Scattle, WA 98101
8	Telephone: (206) 467-9600 Facsimile: (206) 623-6793
9	Mark A. Steiner, CA Bar # 88124 Marc M. Gorelnik, CA Bar # 166833
10	Lorie H. van Löben Sels, CA Bar # 184860 Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP
11	Two Embarcadero Center, 8 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
12	Telephone: (415) 576-0200 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300
13	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff BROOKS SPORTS, INC.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1	1	
1	JURY DEMAND	
2	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b)) and Local Rule 38(b), Plaintiff BROOKS SPORTS hereby
3	demands a trial of this dispute by jury.	
4		Respectfully submitted,
5		TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
6 7 8	Dated: May <u>4</u> _, 2007.	Steven W. Parmelce, WA Bar # 15016 Mark A. Stejner, CA Bar # 88124 Marc M. Gorclnik, CA Bar # 166833
9		Lorie H. van Löben Sels, CA Bar # 184860
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff BROOKS SPORTS, INC.
11 12		
13		
14	61039199 vI	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

28