L 188188)	
07-CV-01106-CMP	

FILED ENTERED CONTROLL CONTROL CONTROLL CONTROL CONTROL

JUL 16 2007

AT SEATTLE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON USPUT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CASCADE FINANCIAL CORPORATION and CASCADE BANK.

Plaintiff.

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ISSAQUAH COMMUNITY BANK, CAPITOL BANCORP, LTD., and ROBERT M. ITTES,

Defendants.

Case No. 7 - 1106 152

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs Cascade Financial Corporation and Cascade Bank ("Plaintiffs"), for their complaint against Issaquah Community Bank, Capitol Bancorp, Ltd., and Robert M. Ittes (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants"), allege as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) of the Lanham Act and the laws of the State of Washington.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) because it arises under the Trademarks Laws of the United States, specifically 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

COMPLAINT - 1



SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at I aw US Bank Centre 1420 Sth Ave., Suite 3010 Seatlle, WA 98101 206.622.1711

- 3. Plaintiffs also assert common law trademark infringement claims, Washington statutory trademark infringement claims, and claims under the Washington Consumer Protection Act. These claims are so related to the federal trademark claims that they are part of the same case and controversy and consequently fall within the scope of this court's supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(b) and 1367.
 - 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties.
 - 5. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

THE PARTIES

- 6. Cascade Financial Corporation is a Washington corporation and holding company of Cascade Bank, a Washington state chartered commercial bank, with a principal place of business in Everett, Washington. Cascade Financial Corporation acquired Issaquah Bancshares, Inc. on June 4, 2004.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Issaquah Community Bank is a Washington corporation with a principal place of business in Issaquah, Washington.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant Capitol Bancorp, Ltd., headquartered in Lansing, Michigan, is a holding company for more than fifty individually chartered banks in fourteen states. On information and belief, Capitol Bancorp, Inc. is a founder of and holder of controlling interest in Issaquah Community Bank, and transactions business within the state of Washington.
- 9. On information and belief, Robert M. Ittes is a resident of Sammamish, Washington. On information and belief, Robert M. Ittes is a founder and will serve as chief executive officer of Issaquah Community Bank. Mr. Ittes is the founder, former president and chief executive officer of Issaquah Bank and the former President of the Issaquah Bank Division of Cascade Bank.

Bank. Cascade Bank was chartered in 1916 and is the oldest financial institution

26

1

2

headquartered in Snohomish County, Washington. It has been locally managed for more than 90 years.

- 18. Cascade Bank is a community bank offering a full range of financial services to its business and consumer clients through its twenty branches located throughout King and Snohomish Counties. Cascade Bank also offers online banking and other services through its website at www.cascadebank.com.
- 19. On June 4, 2004, Issaquah Bankshares, Inc. and Issaquah Bank were acquired by Plaintiff Cascade Financial Corporation. Issaquah Bankshares, Inc. was merged into Cascade Financial Corporation, and Issaquah Bank was merged into Cascade Bank.
- 20. After the completion of the acquisition and merger, Issaquah Bank operated as the Issaquah Bank Division of Cascade Bank, with Robert Ittes as President of the Division and responsible for its performance, the development and preservation of banking relationships and other business development efforts (including appropriate civic and community activities) in the Issaquah market area.
- 21. Effective April 30, 2005, Robert M. Ittes terminated his employment as President of the Issaquah Bank Division of Cascade Bank. Several other management level staff members also departed.
- 22. In September 2005, Issaquah Bank began transitioning the branch name to Cascade Bank-Issaquah Branch.
- 23. Plaintiffs continue to use the mark ISSAQUAH BANK and to build the goodwill associated the mark in commercial and non-commercial ways, including use of the mark in connection with various financial products and services, and in connection with its sponsorship of community and charitable events.
- 24. Customers continue to use, and Cascade Bank to process, checks and deposit slips bearing the mark ISSAQUAH BANK.

- 25. Plaintiffs continue to be identified under its ISSAQUAH BANK mark in the community and by various vendors and services providers.
- 26. Plaintiffs continue to use the domain names <u>www.issaquah-bank.com</u> and <u>www.issaquahbank.com</u> to offer online banking and provide information about Plaintiffs' other banking and financial services.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendants intend to operate a bank under the name "Issaquah Community Bank."
- 28. On information and belief, Defendants began formation activities for operation of a bank in Issaquah under the name "Issaquah Community Bank" and representing themselves as "Issaquah Community Bank (in formation)" at least as early as January 2007.
- 29. On information and belief, Robert M. Ittes and Capitol Bancorp Ltd. have jointly founded Issaquah Community Bank, which was incorporated in Washington on July 10, 2007.
- 30. On information and belief, Issaquah Community Bank's Chief Executive Officer is Robert M. Ittes, the same individual who served as the president and chief executive officer of Issaquah Bank and the Issaquah Bank Division of Cascade Bank until April 30, 2005.
- 31. On information and belief, Defendants will offer financial services substantially the same as and competitive with those offered by Plaintiffs.
- 32. On information and belief, Defendants' intended location is 1375 NW Mall Street in Issaquah, Washington, which is approximately one-third of a mile from Plaintiff's Issaquah Branch.
- 33. Operation of a bank and offering banking services under the name "Issaquah Community Bank" is likely to cause consumer confusion, mistake or deception as to the source, sponsorship or approval of Defendants' services in that customers and others are

likely to believe that Defendants' services are in some way legitimately connected with, sponsored, or licensed by Plaintiff.

- 34. Although Plaintiffs have requested in writing that Defendants select another name that is not likely to lead to consumer confusion, Defendants have refused to do so.
- 35. Defendants have knowledge of Plaintiffs' use of the mark ISSAQUAH BANK for purposes of a federal trademark infringement claim. In addition, Defendants have constructive knowledge of Plaintiffs' ownership of the ISSAQUAH BANK mark pursuant to RCW 19,77,040.
- 36. Having actual and constructive knowledge of Plaintiff's rights in the mark ISSAQUAH BANK, Defendants' infringing use of the "Issaquah Community Bank" mark is willful and deliberate with the intent to unfairly trade off the goodwill associated with the ISSAQUAH BANK mark.

CLAIM I

LANIIAM ACT UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 37. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 35 above, inclusively.
- 38. Defendants' actions described above are likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, and to deceive as to the affiliation, connection or association of Defendants with Plaintiffs, and as to the origin, sponsorship and approval of Defendants' goods and services by Plaintiffs. Defendants' conduct constitutes unfair competition, false designation of origin, false or misleading descriptions or representations of fact, false advertising, and/or unfair or deceptive trade practices in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' foregoing acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiffs will be substantially injured in its business, including its reputation, resulting in lost revenues and profits, and diminished goodwill and reputation.

- 40. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law because their ISSAQUAH BANK mark is unique and represents to the public its identity, reputation and goodwill, such that damages alone cannot fully compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' misconduct.
- 41. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will compete unfairly, falsely designate the origin of their services, make false descriptions or representations, and use the cause confusion, all to Plaintiffs' irreparable injury. This threat of future injury to Plaintiffs' business, identity, goodwill and reputation requires injunctive relief to prevent Defendants' unfair competition, false designation of origin, false descriptions or representations, and to mitigate the injury to Plaintiffs.

CLAIM II

COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 42. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 above, inclusively.
- 43. Defendants' actions described above pass off Defendants' services as those of Plaintiffs. Defendants' use of the mark "Issaquah Community Bank" is calculated to and/or would be likely to confuse and mislead the public into believing that Defendants' services are associated with or related to Plaintiffs, and to cause the public to trade with Defendants when they intend to and would otherwise have traded with Plaintiffs, and constitute unfair competition and trademark infringement under the common law of Washington.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiffs will be substantially injured in its business, including its goodwill and reputation, resulting in lost revenues and profits, and diminished goodwill and reputation.
- 45. Plaintiffs has no adequate remedy at law because the ISSAQUAH BANK mark is unique and represents to the public Plaintiffs' identity, reputation and goodwill such that damages alone cannot fully compensate Plaintiffs for Defendants' misconduct.

1	46. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will engage in unfair competition		
2	and cause confusion and mistake by their unauthorized use and infringement of the		
3	ISSAQUAH BANK mark, all to Plaintiffs' irreparable injury. This threat of future injury to		
4	Plaintiffs' business identity, goodwill and reputation requires injunctive relief to prevent		
5	Defendants' unfair competition and to mitigate Plaintiffs' injury.		
6	CLAIM III INFRINGEMENT OF WASHINGTON STATE TRADEMARK REGISTRATION		
7			
8	47. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 45		
9	above, inclusively.		
10	48. Defendants' use of "Issaquah Community Bank" would constitute an		
11	infringement of Plaintiff's registered trademark ISSAQUAH BANK under RCW 19.77.040,		
12	19.77.140, and 19.77.150.		
13	49. Defendants should be enjoined from using the name "Issaquah Community		
14	Bank." Defendants use of the name "Issaquah Community Bank" will constitute trademark		
15	infringement such that Plaintiffs will be entitled to recover any applicable injunctive relief		
16	and damages, including any profits derived from and/or all damages suffered by reason of		
17	wrongful use or display of the name "Issaquah Community Bank", destruction or delivery to		
18	Plaintiffs or this Court any of Defendants' property or materials bearing the name "Issaquah		
19	Community Bank", reasonable attorney fees, and/or an amount not to exceed three times the		
20	profits and damages derived from Defendants' wrongful use or display of the name		
21	"Issaquah Community Bank."		
22	CLAIM IV		
23	WASHINGTON CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT		
24	50. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 48,		
25	above, inclusively.		
26			

1	3.	Plaintiffs be awarded all damages sustained by reason of Defendants'	
2	wrongful acts	, and that such damages be trebled to the extent allowed by law.	
3	4.	This Court require Defendants to pay Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees,	
4	expert witnes	s fees, and disbursements incurred herein, including costs.	
5	5.	This Court award Plaintiffs such other and further relief as this Court deems	
6	just and equit	able.	
7	Dated	this 16 day of July, 2007.	
8		SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C	٧.
9			
10		By: Un Jennifer L. Jolley WSBA #27686	
11		jjolley@schwabe.com Christopher D. Priddy, WSBA #36571	
12		cpriddy(a)schwabe.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Cascade Bank	
13		morneys for 1 mining cuseum Dami	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
4U	I		

Exhibit A

ISSAQUAH BANK

TRADEMARKSCAN®--U.S. State

Registered In: WASHINGTON

SAEGIS Record Number: WA3000014530

Registration Number: 22069

Status: RENEWED

Date Registered: March 18, 1993

Mark Type: SERVICE MARK

International Class(es):

36 (Insurance and Financial Services)

Goods/Services:

BANKING SERVICES

Date First Use State: July 31, 1992 Date First Use Anywhere: July 31, 1992

Owner Information:

ISSAQUAH BANK 729 N.W. GILMAN BOULEVARD ISSAQUAH, WASHINGTON 98027

Renewal Owner Information:

ISSAQUAH BANK WASHINGTONCORPORATION 1055 NW MAPLE STREET ISSAOUAH, WASHINGTON 98027

Tel. 206-623-1900

Renewed: November 18, 2002

Design: THE WORDS "ISSAQUAH BANK" WITH LINE BELOW IT & TREES TO THE LEFT.

Manner Of Display: USED ON BANK ADS, LETTERHEAD, CHECKS, CREDIT AND DEBIT CARDS AND SIGNAGE



Copyright 2007 Thomson & Thomson Viewed: Fri Jan 19 2007, 00:01 GMT

Print Page