1	Lisa M. Martens (#195824/martens@fr.com)		
2	Andrew M. Abrams (#229698/abrams@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.)	
3	12390 El Camino Real San Diego, California 92130		
4	Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	Case No. 3:07-cv-01809-JAH-CAB	
12	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:	
131415	v. HIPCRICKET, INC. Defendant.	 Trademark Infringement - 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1) Trademark Infringement, Unfair Competition, and False Designation of Origin – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) 	
1617		 3. Cybersquatting in Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d) 4. Trademark Dilution – Cal. Bus. & Prof. 	
18		Code § 14330 5. Unfair Competition – Cal. Bus. & Prof.	
19		Code §§ 17200 et seq. 6. Common Law Trademark Infringement	
20			
21		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
22 23	For its First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC. hereby states and alleges as follows: NATURE OF THE ACTION 1. This action seeks permanent injunctive relief and damages against Defendant's willful infringement and dilution of Plaintiff's trademark CRICKET, under		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1	Case No. 3:07-cv-01809-JAH-CAI	
	$_{ m I}$	Case Inu. J.U/-CV-U10U7-JAH-CAI	

the Lanham Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, Title 15, United States Code, §§ 1051 et seq. (the "Lanham Act"), and for infringement, dilution and unfair competition under California Business & Professions Code §§14330, 14335, and 17200.

- 2. CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("Cricket" or "Plaintiff") is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a business address at 10307 Pacific Center Court, San Diego, California 92121. Cricket is a leading provider of unlimited wireless services, and has revolutionized the telecommunications industry through its development of a simple, affordable wireless service offering consumers and local businesses a flat-rate unlimited service over the latest technology, high-quality, all-digital wireless network. Cricket's total revenues in the United States in 2006 were \$1.13 billion. Cricket had approximately 2.67 million subscribers to its CRICKET branded wireless telecommunications services by the end of the second fiscal quarter of 2007.
- On information and belief, defendant HIPCRICKET, INC. ("Defendant")
 is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a business address at 3006
 Northup Way, Suite 300, Bellevue, Washington 98004.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant is in the business of using interactive text messaging programs to deliver advertising to the cellular telephones of consumers. Defendant promotes its services on the Internet at http://www.hipcricket.com and uses the trademark and service mark HIPCRICKET in connection with its services.
- 5. Defendant's mark, trade name, and domain name is highly similar to and confusingly similar to Cricket's famous trademark as it is used in the marketplace, and Defendant has intentionally sought to imply an association with Cricket in its marketing and advertising. Unless Defendant is enjoined from continuing to use a mark which is confusingly similar to Cricket's famous CRICKET mark, and any other confusing signs or indicia, to promote its services, the CRICKET mark will continue to be infringed and diluted, thereby destroying the distinctive quality that Cricket has developed over the years, to the detriment of Cricket and the public.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This is a civil action arising under the Lanham Act, Title 15, United States Code, §§ 1114, 1125(a) and 1125(d), and under the statutory law and common law of the State of California. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question jurisdiction), 1338 (trademark and unfair competition), and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 7. Personal jurisdiction over the defendant is vested and venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this District, and Defendant owns and operates an interactive website that displays and promotes the infringing mark and attempts to establish communication online with parties who are interested in Defendant's services, including parties in this District. On information and belief, Defendant solicits and has done business using Cricket's marks, or marks infringing Cricket's marks in this State with customers located within this State and in this District and thereby purposefully availed itself of the privilege of doing business in this State and in this District. The claims alleged herein arise out of or are related to the defendant's forum-related activities and the exercise of jurisdiction herein is otherwise reasonable. Personal jurisdiction is also proper over the Defendant in that the intentional and wrongful conduct described herein was directed at this District and at Cricket, whom Defendant knew to be a resident of this District, and who is a resident of this State, and who suffered damage in this District as a result of Defendant's intentional conduct.
- 8. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), as the claims arise in this District and Defendant, a corporation, is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BACKGROUND

Cricket's Valuable Trademark Rights

- 9. Cricket is the pioneer of unlimited wireless services with no long-term commitments or credit checks required, and has become a market leader through its development of a simple, affordable wireless service offering consumers and local businesses a flat-rate unlimited service over the latest technology, high-quality, all-digital wireless network.
- 10. Cricket also offers customers access to a variety of the latest wireless telephones and devices and feature-rich mobile applications such as unlimited text, instant and picture messaging, Mobile Web, popular games, ringtones and wallpapers.
- 11. Since its first use in commerce in March 1999, the CRICKET trademark for wireless telecommunications products and services has become an extremely popular and widely recognized brand, with approximately 2.67 million subscribers to its CRICKET branded wireless telecommunications services by the end of the second fiscal quarter of 2007.
- 12. Cricket engages in extensive text message advertising, both for its own products and services as well as in conjunction with corporate partners, who offer discounts and coupons via text message to individual consumers of Cricket's wireless telecommunications services. Cricket also conducts text message campaigns in which users of other wireless services may participate through arrangements, for instance, with Larry H. Miller Theaters and the Pittsburgh Steelers, and intends to continue to expand its text message advertising campaigns in the future.
- 13. Cricket advertises its products and services extensively through various media outlets, including television, the Internet, and radio. The following represent the radio companies used most often by Cricket in promoting its products and services: Clear Channel Communications; UNI Radio Corp.; CBS Radio, Inc.; EnterCom; Citadel Communications Corp.; and Radio One.

- 14. Cricket regularly partners with its fellow telecommunications providers such as Sprint, NTelos, Verizon and Alltel in connection with providing roaming services.
- 15. Since March 1999, Cricket has continuously and extensively promoted, offered and sold wireless telecommunications products and services in interstate commerce under the CRICKET mark. The CRICKET products and services are available in retail stores and on-line at various websites, including *mycricket.com*.
- 16. Cricket owns the *mycricket.com* website, and has spent considerable time, money and effort promoting and developing customer recognition and goodwill in its CRICKET trademarks and "mycricket" series of domain names nationwide.
- 17. On September 11, 1998, Cricket filed United States Trademark
 Application Serial No. 75/551,094 for the CRICKET mark. On June 20, 2000, the
 United States Patent and Trademark Office issued Registration No. 2,359,370 to Plaintiff
 for the CRICKET mark for use on "wireless communication apparatus, namely, cellular
 telephones, telephone accessories, namely, fitted carrying cases, belt clips, battery
 chargers integrated wireless communication and computing apparatus, namely, cellular
 telephones, and telephone accessories, namely, fitted carrying cases, belt clips, battery
 chargers." A true and correct copy of the USPTO online record for Registration No.
 2,359,370 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 18. On September 11, 1998, Cricket filed United States Trademark
 Application Serial No. 75/551,093 for the CRICKET mark. On July 4, 2000, the United
 States Patent and Trademark Office issued Registration No. 2,363,821 to Plaintiff for the
 CRICKET mark for use in connection with "retail store services featuring wireless
 communication apparatus, namely, modems, cellular telephones, wireless local loop
 telephones and personal communication services (PCS) handsets with manuals and
 accessories sold as a unit therewith, telephone accessories, namely, fitted carrying cases,
 belt clips, battery chargers and batteries, and car kits consisting of audio speakers,

microphones, and external antenna connectors; integrated wireless communication and computing apparatus namely, cellular telephones, wireless local loop telephones and personal communication handsets combined with a computer or personal digital assistant (PDA) device with manuals and accessories sold as a unit therewith; and telephone accessories, namely, fitted carrying cases, belt clips, battery chargers and batteries, and car kits consisting of audio speakers, microphones, and external antenna connectors." A true and correct copy of the USPTO online record for Registration No. 2,363,821 is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

- 19. On September 11, 1998, Cricket filed United States Trademark
 Application Serial No. 75/551,090 for the CRICKET mark. On June 20, 2000, the
 United States Patent and Trademark Office issued Registration No. 2,359,369 to Plaintiff
 for the CRICKET mark for use in connection with "telecommunications services,
 namely, offering personal communications services via wireless networks; and providing
 cellular telephone services and personal communication network (PCN) services." A true
 and correct copy of the USPTO online record for Registration No. 2,359,369 is attached
 hereto as Exhibit C.
- 20. Cricket has used and is using its CRICKET mark in commerce on and in connection with offering and selling its wireless telecommunications goods and services continuously since at least as early as March 1999.
- 21. Since at least as early as March 1999, Cricket has used a green and orange color scheme in connection with its telecommunications services and related goods and services. Since at least as early as 1999, Cricket's use of the green and orange color scheme has been exclusive in the field of telecommunications. Consumers recognize Cricket's green and orange color scheme as a designator of source.

 Accordingly, Cricket owns valid and enforceable trade dress rights in its green and orange color scheme as used in connection with Cricket's telecommunications services and related goods and services.

The Fame of Cricket's Trademarks

- 22. In the more than eight years that Cricket has been continuously using the CRICKET mark in interstate commerce, it has spent millions of dollars per year (with approximately \$20 million spent so far in 2007) advertising and promoting its goods and services under the CRICKET mark in a variety of media, including but not limited to television, radio, a wide variety of general circulation and specialized print media, billboards, trade shows and the Internet. The goods and services offered by Cricket under the CRICKET mark have been the subject of many articles in general circulation newspapers and magazines, as well as radio, television and Internet broadcasts.
- 23. By virtue of Cricket's long, continuous and exclusive use of the CRICKET mark and the extensive and costly marketing efforts and repeated association of Cricket's goods and services with the CRICKET mark, Cricket's mark has become famous, widely recognized, and/or distinctive in the State of California. As a result, the consuming public in California uses the CRICKET mark to identify Cricket's goods and services, and associates the mark with Cricket exclusively. Because of the consistent quality of Cricket's goods and services marketed under and in association with the CRICKET mark, Cricket has established considerable good will and reputation with respect to its goods and services.
- 24. Cricket's use of the CRICKET mark has been exclusive and continuous since long prior to the date of Defendant's first use of its mark. Furthermore, Cricket's CRICKET mark became famous, widely recognized, and/or distinctive long before the date of Defendant's first use of the infringing HIPCRICKET mark referenced herein. The CRICKET mark is well known and is an asset of immense value to Cricket.

Defendant's Wrongful Conduct

25. Defendant uses the HIPCRICKET name as a trade name and service mark in connection with telecommunications services. Specifically, Defendant creates advertisements and uses interactive text messaging programs to deliver those

advertisements to the cellular telephones of customers.

- 26. Defendant also uses the HIPCRICKET name in connection with a domain name and website located at http://www.hipcricket.com.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendant did not begin using the HIPCRICKET mark in commerce until August of 2004, long after Plaintiff had established valid and enforceable trademark rights in the CRICKET mark.
- 28. On information and belief, Defendant did not register the http://www.hipcricket.com domain name until on or about March 18, 2004, long after Plaintiff had established valid and enforceable trademark rights in the CRICKET mark.
- 29. On information and belief, Defendant works closely with a "carrier network" to facilitate its advertising programs. On information and belief, this carrier network consists of large telecommunication providers such as Sprint, NTelos, Verizon and Alltel. These companies regularly partner with Cricket to provide roaming services and are well aware of Plaintiff's CRICKET mark and the services provided thereunder.
- 30. On information and belief, many of Defendant's clients are in the media industry, and include some of the same television and radio companies used by Cricket to broadcast advertisements for Plaintiff's products and services marketed and sold under the CRICKET mark.
- 31. On information and belief, Defendant's advertisements are ultimately accessed by individual cell phone users through interactive text messaging campaigns, which Defendant provides to consumers. On information and belief, many of these consumers are the very same customers who comprise Cricket's customer base.
- 32. Defendant uses its HIPCRICKET mark in such a way as to separate and emphasize the "CRICKET" portion of the mark. For example, on Defendant's website at http://www.hipcricket.com, the "hip" and "cricket" portions of the mark are set apart through use of the colors orange and green, colors which have come to be associated with Cricket's brand. The overall effect is to make Defendant's mark appear merely to be a

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

part of Cricket's family of marks. A true and correct reproduction of Defendant's mark as used on Defendant's website at http://www.hipcricket.com is set forth below.



33. On information and belief, Defendant only recently changed its mark to an orange-and-green color scheme. On information and belief, until recently, Defendant depicted its mark in the color yellow. A true and correct reproduction of Defendant's mark as used on prior versions of Defendant's website at http://www.hipcricket.com is set forth below.



- 34. The HIPCRICKET name wholly and prominently incorporates the famous, widely recognized, and/or distinctive CRICKET trademark. CRICKET, as used by Defendant, and Plaintiff's CRICKET mark are identical in sound and in sight, and the addition of the descriptive term "hip" does little to add distinctive value to Defendant's name. Moreover, because the term "hip" is descriptive, CRICKET is the dominant and only distinctive element of the mark and trade name.
- 35. On information and belief, Defendant's recent change from a yellow color scheme to an orange and green color scheme nearly identical to Cricket's clearly evidences an intention to trade off of the recognition and goodwill Plaintiff has built in the CRICKET mark.
- 36. Defendant's use of the HIPCRICKET name and mark is likely to cause confusion as to whether Defendant's services originate from or are sponsored by Cricket, or whether there is an association or affiliation between Defendant and Cricket.

Defendant's acts also are likely to dilute the distinctive quality of the famous, widely recognized, and/or distinctive CRICKET trademark.

- 37. After learning of Defendant's use of HIPCRICKET, Cricket contacted Defendant and expressed its concerns, and since that time, Cricket has repeatedly tried to resolve this matter with Defendant. However, despite Cricket's efforts, Defendant has refused to suitably change its name, leaving Cricket no choice but to file this Complaint.
- 38. Subsequent to the service of the original Complaint, Defendant filed two new trademark applications with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") for the mark HIPCRICKET & Cricket Design. As shown below, Defendant accentuates the "cricket" portion of the mark by displaying it in a bolder font than the "hip" portion of the mark.

hipcricket

- 39. On October 11, 2007, Defendant filed Application Serial No. 77/302,104 with the PTO for the mark HIPCRICKET & Cricket Design in connection with virtually identical services to those registered under the CRICKET mark: "telecommunications services, namely, the electronic transmission of data, video, and audio information over wireless networks; telecommunications services, namely, electronic transmission of messages, applications, data and computer and wireless digital content and updates for upload or download to telecommunications devices; transmission of advertising and marketing information with the help of telecommunications media," in International Class 38.
- 40. Also on October 11, 2007, Defendant filed Application Serial No.

 77/302,094 with the PTO for the mark HIPCRICKET & Cricket Design in connection with "advertising and commercial information services via the internet; online advertising

and marketing services; promoting the goods and services of others by preparing and placing advertisements and promotional displays on mobile telephones, personal digital assistants, web sites, electronic magazines, print media, broadcast media, outdoor media, promotional items; electronic commerce services, namely providing information about products and services via telecommunication networks for advertising and sales purposes; publicity and sales promotion relating to goods and services offered over mobile devices; advertising and marketing services; consulting services in the field of advertising and marketing directed to brand marketers and advertising agencies," in International Class 35.

- 41. Cricket has not consented to any of Defendant's uses of its CRICKET mark, nor any mark comprised in whole or part of CRICKET, nor has Cricket sponsored, endorsed or approved the services offered and promoted by Defendant. Nor is there any affiliation between Cricket and Defendant.
- 42. On information and belief, Cricket, the famous, widely recognized, and/or distinctive CRICKET mark, and the business of Cricket are known to Defendant and were known to Defendant at the time it adopted the HIPCRICKET mark and began offering its services under the HIPCRICKET mark.
- 43. Defendant's first commercial use of the HIPCRICKET mark for its services occurred much later than Cricket's first use of the CRICKET mark for its goods and services, and well after the CRICKET mark had become famous, widely recognized, and/or distinctive.
- 44. Defendant's trademark applications for the HIPCRICKET mark were filed subsequent to the application date for the CRICKET registrations.
- 45. On information and belief, Defendant adopted the "CRICKET" portion of its mark to make a direct reference to the CRICKET goods and services.
- 46. Defendant uses the HIPCRICKET mark on services that are highly related to the CRICKET goods and services.

- 47. Defendant's promotion and sales of its services under the HIPCRICKET mark are directed to business partners of Cricket as well as to consumers of Cricket's products and services, and are conducted through the same channels of trade as are used by Cricket to promote and sell its CRICKET goods and services.
- 48. Defendant's use of the HIPCRICKET mark and Defendant's actions described herein are likely to cause confusion, deception and/or mistake in the marketplace, the relevant industry, and all channels of trade for Cricket's goods and services.
- 49. Defendant's use of the HIPCRICKET mark and Defendant's actions described herein are likely to dilute the distinctive quality of Plaintiff's CRICKET mark.
- 50. Defendant's use of the HIPCRICKET mark and its actions described herein have been, and continue to be, deliberate, willful, and with disregard to the rights of Cricket.
- 51. Defendant's continuing conduct constitutes an ongoing threat to Cricket and the public. Cricket has sustained and will continue to sustain irreparable injury as a result of Defendant's conduct, which injury is not compensable by the award of monetary damages. Unless Defendant is restrained and enjoined from engaging in its infringing and diluting conduct, Cricket will continue to suffer irreparable injury.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Trademark Infringement – 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1))

- 52. Cricket incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 53. Prior to Defendant's adoption of the HIPCRICKET mark and use of the HIPCRICKET mark, Defendant either had actual notice and knowledge, or constructive notice (pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1072), of Cricket's ownership and registrations of the CRICKET mark.
 - 54. On information and belief, Defendant was aware of Cricket's business

6

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22

23 24

25

26

27

and its CRICKET mark and registrations prior to Defendant's adoption of the HIPCRICKET mark and use of the HIPCRICKET mark in connection with its business.

- 55. On information and belief, Defendant deliberately and willfully used and is using the HIPCRICKET mark in an attempt to trade on the enormous goodwill, reputation and selling power established by Cricket under the CRICKET mark, and to create a false impression of association with Cricket.
- 56. On information and belief, the services offered by Defendant under the HIPCRICKET mark are moving and will continue to move through the same channels of trade, and are being offered and/or sold through the same channels of advertising and to the same consumer groups, as the goods and services that are offered and sold by Cricket under the CRICKET mark.
 - 57. Cricket has not consented to Defendant's use of the HIPCRICKET mark.
- 58. Defendant's unauthorized use of term "CRICKET" as a key component of its HIPCRICKET mark falsely indicates to consumers that Defendant's services are in some manner connected with, sponsored by, affiliated with, or related to Cricket, and/or the products and services of Cricket.
- 59. Defendant's unauthorized use of the HIPCRICKET mark is also likely to cause consumers to be confused as to the source, nature and quality of the services that Defendant is offering in connection with the HIPCRICKET mark.
- 60. Defendant's unauthorized use of the HIPCRICKET mark, as set forth herein, facilitates the acceptance of Defendant's services throughout the marketplace, not based on the quality of the services provided by Defendant, but on the association that the public is likely to make with Cricket and the reputation and goodwill associated with Cricket's goods and services.
- 61. Defendant's unauthorized use of the HIPCRICKET mark deprives Cricket of the ability to control the quality of the goods and services marketed under the CRICKET mark, and instead, places Cricket's valuable reputation and goodwill into the

hands of Defendant, over whom Cricket has no control.

- 62. The aforementioned activities of Defendant are likely to cause confusion or mistake, or to deceive consumers or potential consumers wishing to purchase Cricket's products and services, and is also likely to confuse consumers as to an affiliation between Cricket and Defendant.
- 63. On information and belief, the aforementioned activities of Defendant have already caused actual consumer confusion as to an affiliation between Cricket and Defendant.
- 64. The aforementioned acts of Defendant constitute federal trademark infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 65. The intentional and willful nature of Defendant's acts makes this an exceptional case under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).
- 66. Cricket has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendant's aforementioned acts of infringement, and unless enjoined by the Court, Defendant will continue to infringe upon the CRICKET mark. There is no adequate remedy at law for the harm caused by the acts of infringement alleged herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Trademark Infringement, Unfair Competition, and False Designation of Origin – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 67. Cricket incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 68. Cricket is informed and believes that Defendant chose its trade name, domain name and the HIPCRICKET mark, and took the other actions alleged above, to cause confusion or mistake, or to deceive the public as to the origin, sponsorship, association or approval of the services of Defendant, deliberately to pass off Defendant's services as those of Cricket, and/or to falsely imply an association with Cricket.
 - 69. Defendant's acts as alleged herein constitute, among other things, false

designations of origin, false or misleading descriptions of fact, or false or misleading representations of fact which are likely to cause confusion or mistake, or to deceive the public as to the origin, sponsorship, association or approval of the services of Defendant.

- 70. Defendant's conduct constitutes trademark infringement and unfair competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
 - 71. Unless enjoined, Defendant will continue its infringing conduct.
- 72. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringing conduct, Cricket has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its business reputation and goodwill for which no adequate remedy exists at law, and has lost sales and profits in an amount not yet fully ascertained.
- 73. Defendant's conduct complained of herein is malicious, fraudulent, knowing, willful, and deliberate entitling Cricket to an accounting of Defendant's profits, increased damages, and an award of its attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Cybersquatting – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d))

- 74. Cricket incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 75. Defendant's www.hipcricket.com domain name is confusingly similar to Plaintiff's CRICKET mark. Defendant has registered, has used, and/or is using the www.hipcricket.com domain name with the bad faith intent to profit from Plaintiff's CRICKET mark.
- 76. Defendant's actions constitute a violation of Lanham Act § 43(d), 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).
- 77. Cricket has been, is now, and will be irreparably harmed by Defendant's aforementioned acts, and, unless enjoined by the Court, Defendant's unauthorized use of

the *www.hipcricket.com* domain name will continue, and there is no adequate remedy at law for the harm caused by the acts alleged herein.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Trademark Dilution - Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 14330)

- 78. Cricket incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 79. The CRICKET mark has been and continues to be extensively promoted and marketed nationwide. As a result, the CRICKET mark has gained strong national public recognition and is eligible for protection against dilution as a distinctive and famous mark.
- 80. Defendant's use of the HIPCRICKET mark in the advertising and promotion of its services dilutes the distinctive quality of the CRICKET mark.
- 81. The above acts by Defendant constitute trademark dilution under California Business & Professions Code § 14330, the analogous statutes of other states, and under California common law.
- 82. Without injunctive relief, Cricket has no means by which to control the continuing injury to its reputation and goodwill or of the continuing dilution of its trademark. Cricket has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed. No amount of money damages can adequately compensate Cricket if it loses the ability to control the use of its CRICKET mark and the reputation and goodwill attendant thereto through the false and unauthorized use of its trademark.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Unfair Unlawful and Deceptive Business Practices - Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.)

- 83. Cricket incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 84. Defendant's business practices alleged above are unfair and offend public policy as they were unlawful, unfair, unscrupulous, and substantially injurious to

Cricket and consumers.

- 85. The above acts by Defendant constitute unfair competition and unfair business practices in violation of the Section 17200 *et seq.* of the California Business & Professions Code, prohibiting unfair, unlawful and deceptive business acts.
- 86. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code § 17203, Cricket is entitled to enjoin these practices.
- 87. Without injunctive relief, Cricket has no means by which to control Defendant's deceptive and confusing use and advertising of its marks. Cricket is therefore entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing such acts of unfair competition, and appropriate restitution remedies, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code § 17203.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Common Law Trademark Infringement)

- 88. Cricket incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs.
- 89. Defendant's unauthorized use of the HIPCRICKET mark in interstate commerce and in this judicial District in connection with the promotion and offering of Defendant's services constitutes a false designation of origin, a false and/or misleading description of fact, and/or a false or misleading representation of fact which constitutes an infringement of Cricket's trademark rights in and to the CRICKET mark, and is likely to cause confusion, and mistake, and/or deception as to the affiliation, connection or association of Defendant and Cricket, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's goods by Cricket. Defendant's actions have caused, and will continue to cause, irreparable harm to Cricket.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, Cricket respectfully requests

9

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26

27

that this Court enter an Order granting the following relief:

- a) For judgment that the CRICKET mark has been and continues to be infringed by Defendant;
- b) For judgment that the CRICKET mark has been and continues to be diluted by Defendant;
- c) Permanently enjoining Defendant and each of its agents, employees, servants, officers, directors, successors in interest, heirs, assigns and all persons, firms or corporations, acting by or under their authority, in active concert or privity or in participation with it, from using the CRICKET mark, a green and orange color scheme, or any confusingly similar marks in any way or using any word, words, phrases, symbols, logos, or any combination of words or symbol that would create a likelihood of confusion, mistake, or deception therewith, including, without limitation, the HIPCRICKET mark, trade name, and domain name, in connection with or in the marketing, offering, selling, licensing, displaying, advertising, or developing of Defendant's services;
- d) Permanently enjoining Defendant to recall from all its offices and all others, whether persons, firms, or corporations, acting by or under their authority, in active concert or privity or in participation with it, any material containing the CRICKET mark in any way and any word, words, phrases, symbols, logos, any combination of words or symbols that would create a likelihood of confusion, mistake and/or deception therewith, including, without limitation, the HIPCRICKET mark, in connection with or in the marketing, offering, selling, licensing, displaying, advertising, or developing of Defendant's business services;
- e) Permanently enjoining Defendant, its officers, agents, employees, and all persons acting in concert with them, from infringing the CRICKET mark and/or engaging in further such unlawful acts and from reaping any additional commercial advantage from its misappropriation of the rights of Cricket and all affiliated and

- related companies of Cricket in the CRICKET mark and the registrations of this mark;
- f) Requiring Defendant to destroy, at its sole and exclusive cost, all materials in its possession or under its control that contain infringements of the CRICKET mark;
- g) Ordering the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to order that Defendant is not entitled to any trademark registrations for the HIPCRICKET mark and any word, words, phrases, symbols, logos, or any combination of words or symbols that would create a likelihood of confusion, mistake, and/or deception therewith, including, without limitation, Defendant's Trademark Registration Number 3,114,097, Trademark Application Serial No. 77/302,094, and Trademark Application Serial No. 77/302,104.
- h) Ordering that the <www.hipcricket.com> domain name be transferred to Cricket;
- For all actual damages sustained by Cricket as the result of Defendant's acts of infringement and/or dilution, together with prejudgment interest, according to proof, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- j) For an accounting of the profits of Defendant resulting from its acts of infringement and/or dilution pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- k) For enhanced damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, including but not limited to statutory damages up to \$100,000 for Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C.
 § 1125(d);
- For an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 or as otherwise permitted by law;
- m) For Cricket's costs of suit, including its reasonable litigation expenses, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117; and
- n) Granting Cricket such additional, other, or further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
2	Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.	
3	DATED: November 6, 2007	Respectfully submitted,
4		FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
5		By: s/ Lisa M. Martens
6		Lisa M. Martens, Esq.
7		Andrew M. Abrams, Esq.
8	1077174121	Attorneys for Plaintiff CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC
9	10771564.2.doc	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
2 0	I I	

PROOF OF SERVICE I am employed in the County of San Diego. My business address is Fish & 2 Richardson P.C., 12390 El Camino Real, San Diego, California 92130. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the foregoing action. 3

I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing of correspondence for personal delivery, for mailing with United States Postal Service, for facsimile, and for overnight delivery by Federal Express, Express Mail, or other overnight service.

On November 6, 2007, I caused a copy of the following document(s):

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

to be served on the interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, and addressed as follows:

Charles G. LaBella Steven T. Coopersmith La Bella & McNamara, LLP 401 West A Street Suite 1150 Sand Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 696-9200 Facsimile: (619) 696-9269

Attorneys For Defendant HIPCRİCKET, INC.

X

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAIL: Such correspondence was deposited, postage fully paid, with the

United States Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course

of business.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed on November 6, 2007, at San Diego, California.

s/Nicole C. Pino

Nicole C. Pino

26