1 2 3 4 5 6 .7 8	COMP IKENNA K. ODUNZE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9885 PATRICK W. KANG, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10381 ALEXANDER, ODUNZE & KANG, LLP 5516 S. Ft. Apache Rd. Ste. 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 538-7956 Case 2:07-cv-016 Fax: (702) 538-7980 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	Page 1 of 29	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
11	LITTLE WILLIE LYONS, an individual,	Case No.		
13	Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
15	DEANIDRE WAY on individual, MARI	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	DEANDRE WAY, an individual; KARL WASHINGTON, an individual; TRACY JENKINS, an individual; UNIVERSAL MUSIC, INC., a California and New York Corporation; UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC., a Delaware, California and New York Corporation; INTERSCOPE CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; VIACOM INC., a Delaware Corporation; COLLIPARK MUSIC, INC., a Georgia Corporation; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, Defendants.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, DECLATORY RELIEF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 1. Trademark Infringement 2. Unfair Competition 3. Deceptive Trade Practices 4. Intentional Interference with Business 5. Fraud/Misrepresentation 6. Unjust enrichment 7. Declaratory Relief 8. Cybersquatting		
28		1		

a

COMES Plaintiff LITTLE WILLIE LYONS (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Mr. Lyons" or "Lyons"), by and through his attorney of record IKENNA K. ODUNZE, ESQ., of the law firm of ALEXANDER, ODUNZE & KANG, LLP as and for his complaint against Defendants DEANDRE WAY, KARL WASHINGTON, TRACY JENKINS, UNIVERSAL MUSIC, INC., UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC., INTERSCOPE CORPORATION, VIACOM INC. and COLLIPARK MUSIC, INC. alleged as DOES I THROUGH X, AND Filed 12/07/2007 ROE CORPORATIONS I THROUGH X (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

Page 2 of 29

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is an action for trademark infringement, Lanham Act violations, cybersquatting and unfair competition under federal statutes, with pendant claims for common law trademark infringement, state deceptive trade practices, fraud/misrepresentation and intentional interference with prospective economic advantage arising from the Defendants' promotion and advertising of infringing marks. Plaintiff seeks damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and preliminary and permanent injunctive relief.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and 15 U.S.C. § 1121, as said claims arise under the Trademark Laws of the United States, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants based upon the following: (a) they own, distribute, or are affiliated with media distributed, and/or advertised in Nevada and accessible to residents of the State of Nevada; (b) they transact business in this judicial district through the sales and performance of music and/or the purchasing of

8

22

28

26

24

advertising; and (c) they committed the infringing and other tortious acts that they knew or should have known would cause injury to Plaintiff in the State of Nevada.

Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada 4. under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) & (c) and 1400. Venue lies in the unofficial Southern division of Case 2:07-cv-01635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007 this Court.

PARTIES

Page 3 of 29

- Plaintiff Mr. Lyons is a musician who has experienced great success in the 5. music industry performing under the professional name SOULJAH BOY.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant DeAndre Way is a resident of 6. Georgia and advertises and conducts business in the State of Nevada.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Tracy Jenkins is a resident of Georgia 7. and conducts business in the State of Nevada.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Karl Washington is a resident of 8. Georgia and conducts business in the State of Nevada.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Universal Music, Inc. is a Delaware, 9. California and New York Corporation, having its place of business at 10 Universal City, California, 91608, that does business and advertises in the State of Nevada.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Universal Music Group, Inc. is a 10. Delaware, California and New York Corporation, having its place of business at 10 Universal City, California, 91608, that does business and advertises in the State of Nevada (hereinafter Universal Music, Inc., Universal Music Group, Inc. and any and all their affiliates, subsidiaries and parent companies that have profited from the infringing activities described

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Interscope Corporation ("Interscope Records" or "Interscope") is a Delaware Corporation, having its place of business at 2220 Colorado Avenue, Santa Monica, California, 90404, that does business and advertises in the State of Nevada.

Case 2:07-cv-01635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007

Page 4 of 29

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Viacom Inc. ("Viacom") is a Delaware Corporation, having its place of business at 1515 Broadway, New York, New York, 10036, that does business, broadcasts into and advertises in the State of Nevada.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Collipark Music, Inc. ("Collipark") is a Georgia Corporation, having its place of business at 2818 East Point Street, Suite 2C, East Point, Georgia, 30344, that does business and advertises in the State of Nevada.
- 14. At all times mentioned herein, unless otherwise stated, each Defendant was the agent, employee, servant or representative of each of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the things and acts alleged herein, was acting within the course and scope of that agency, employment, service and representation, and with the knowledge, ratification, approval, authorization, and consent of each of the other Defendants, and/or their offers and/or managing agents.
- 15. That Defendants Does I through X and Roe Corporations I through X are fictitious names. Plaintiff is not aware of the true names of the individuals, corporations, copartnerships, and associates so designated by such fictitious names, and when the true names are discovered, Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint and proceedings herein to substitute the true names of said defendants. Plaintiff believes that each of the

Defendants designated herein as a DOE or ROE is in some manner responsible for the events referred to, and caused damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff as alleged herein.

GENERAL FACTS AND ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Plaintiff Mr. Lyons is a musician who has experienced great success in the music industry performing under the professional stame South Abt BOY. Filed 12/07/2007 Page 5 of 29
- 17. Mr. Lyons has been using the mark SOULJAH BOY for entertainment services continuously and without interruption since 1995.
- 18. Mr. Lyons' use of the mark SOULJAH BOY began prior to use of the name SOULJA BOY by Defendant DeAndre Way.
- 19. Mr. Lyons' use of the mark SOULJAH BOY began prior to use of the name SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM by Defendant DeAndre Way.
- 20. Mr. Lyons was an original member of the group Mo Thugs, which was a spin-off group from the group Bone Thugs 'N Harmony. With Mr. Lyons' participation, Mo Thugs experienced "platinum" success sales of over one million (1,000,000) albums for several years.
- 21. Mr. Lyons recorded under the mark SOULJAH BOY on the album *Mo Thugs*Family Scriptures released in 1997, which obtained multi-platinum certification and reached #2 on the Billboard Charts.
- 22. Mr. Lyons recorded under the mark SOULJAH BOY on the album *Mo Thugs*Family Scriptures 2: A Family Reunion, released in 1998, which obtained multi-platinum certification and reached #25 on the Billboard Charts.
 - 23. Mr. Lyons recorded under the mark SOULJAH BOY on the Bone Thugs 'N

Harmony album *The Art of War*, which obtained multi-platinum certification and reached #1 on the Billboard Charts and distributed in every U.S. State as well as abroad.

24. Mr. Lyons appeared in the 1999 movie Deep in the Game as SOULJAH BOY.

Page 6 of 29

- 25. Mr. Lyons has appeared as SOULJAH BOY in volumes of advertising, magazine articles and other media size 71-9950 ft 678-5 con Documental Entitled States and 2017 2007
- 26. Mr. Lyons has acquired national common law rights to the name SOULJAH BOY.
- 27. The mark SOULJAH BOY has become distinctive and famous in the United States and around the world for musical performances by Plaintiff Willie Lyons.
- 28. Plaintiff has developed a reputation for his goods and services with the mark SOULJAH BOY.
- 29. Plaintiff has steadily built his business and goodwill in services associated with his SOULJAH BOY mark and is known as and has acquired common law service mark rights to the SOULJAH BOY mark *per se* as a result of providing unique and nationally recognized services over the years.
- 30. Based on his extensive use, Plaintiff Mr. Lyons owns the exclusive right to use the SOULJAH BOY mark in connection with entertainment services.
- 31. Plaintiff Mr. Lyons was previously represented in his music career by Karl Washington, a Georgia attorney ("Washington").
- 32. Based upon information and belief, Plaintiff was already known as SOULJAH BOY at the time Washington represented him.
 - 33. Based upon information and belief, Defendant DeAndre Way was represented

1.3

34. Based upon information and belief, on or around June 11, 2007, Washington filed for a Federal Trademark with the United States Patent & Trademark Office in connection with the name SOULJA BOY on DeAndre Way's behalf. A true and accurate copy of the United States Patent & Trademark Office Trademark Effectron follows:

Web-page demonstrating the foregoing filing is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Page 7 of 29

- 35. Based upon information and belief, SOULJA BOY is the only Federal Trademark filed as DeAndre Way's performing name.
- 36. Based upon information and belief, DeAndre Way has not filed a Federal Trademark for the name SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM.
- 37. Based upon information and belief, DeAndre Way's representatives were contacted by TMZ.com regarding a potential conflict with Mr. Lyons' SOULJAH BOY mark.
- 38. Based upon information and belief, DeAndre Way's representatives told TMZ.com that DeAndre Way's full artist name is SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM [as opposed to SOULJA BOY]. The foregoing statements by DeAndre Way's representatives regarding DeAndre Way's alleged use of his alleged full artist name, SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM ,were made by DeAndre Way's representatives to divert TMZ.com and the public from severity of the confusing similarities between SOULJAH BOY and SOULJA BOY.
- 39. Nonetheless, based upon information and belief, TMZ.com indicated that the name SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM is also confusing with SOULJAH BOY. The addition of the phrase "TELL 'EM" to Defendants' word marks by Defendants' competitors would not

preclude confusion, dilution and unfair competition if such competitors used Defendants' word marks in combination with the same (hereinafter the names "SOULJA BOY" and "SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM" and any variations thereof as used by the Defendants in commerce are sometimes collectively referred to as the "Infringing Marks").

40. Based upon in Canation and bellef3 when Dwashington applied for the 7/2007 trademark SOULJA BOY on behalf of DeAndre Way, he knew Plaintiff Mr. Lyons and knew that Mr. Lyons performed under the name SOULJAH BOY.

Page 8 of 29

- 41. Based upon information and belief, Defendant Tracy Jenkins is DeAndre Way's manager, and participates in the management, creation, promotion and distribution of DeAndre Way's recordings and musical performances as SOULJA BOY.
- 42. Defendant Tracy Jenkins profits from DeAndre Way's use of the name SOULJA BOY.
- 43. Based upon information and belief, Defendant DeAndre Way signed a recording contract with Interscope Records under the name SOULJA BOY.
- 44. Defendant DeAndre Way is also signed to the record label of Defendant Collipark, which is a "sub-label" or subsidiary of Interscope Records.
- 45. Based upon information and belief, Interscope Records is a subsidiary of Universal Music Group.
- 46. Defendants Collipark, Interscope, and Universal Music Group are involved in the production, manufacturing, distribution and marketing of Defendant DeAndre Way's music and performances under the name SOULJA BOY, and all Defendants profit financially from the use of the name SOULJA BOY by Defendant DeAndre Way.

- 47. Defendants Collipark, Interscope, and Universal Music Group are involved in the production, manufacturing, distribution and marketing of Defendant DeAndre Way's music and performances under the Infringing Marks, and all Defendants profit financially from the use of the Infringing Marks.
- 48. Based upon information and belief 3Defendant library ensal Music Group except the record label to which Plaintiff Mr. Lyons was previously signed to, at which time he performed as SOULJAH BOY.

Page 9 of 29

- 49. Based upon information and belief, the Defendants distributed the music of DeAndre Way to retail stores and to the Apple iTunes online music store under the name SOULJA BOY.
- 50. Based upon information and belief, the Defendants distributed the music of DeAndre Way to retail stores and to the Apple iTunes online music store under the name SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM.
- 51. Based upon information and belief, Defendants have been using "SOULJA BOY" in advertisements for music and musical performances in Nevada and throughout the United States.
- 52. Based upon information and belief, Defendants have been using the Infringing Marks in advertisements for music and musical performances in Nevada and throughout the United States.
- 53. Based upon information and belief, the Defendants have frequently used the name SOULJA BOY without any other distinguishing words or logo.
 - 54. Based upon information and belief, the Defendants have frequently used the

Infringing Marks without any other distinguishing words or logo.

- 55. Defendants operate a web site accessible throughout the United States and around the world at www.souljaboytellem.com. A true and accurate copy of the home page of this web site is attached hereto as Exhibit 2, and incorporated by this reference.
- 56. Based upon inflammation and belief Defendents have been pale 19 page 10 of 29 name SOULJA BOY over radio broadcasts.
- 57. Based upon information and belief, Defendants have been advertising the name SOULJA BOY and other Infringing Marks over television broadcasts.
 - 58. Defendants market a line of clothing under the name SOULJA GIRL.
- 59. A significant number of Plaintiff's customers have been confused by the similarity particularly the identical aural features between Defendant's Name and the SOULJAH BOY Mark.
- 60. This confusion has resulted in multiple instances of customers having a mistaken belief in the affiliation between Plaintiff Mr. Lyons, p/k/a SOULJAH BOY, on one hand, and Defendants' SOULJA BOY on the other.
- 61. The music and entertainment market in the United States is a very lucrative market for Plaintiff.
- 62. Defendant is participating in an identical field of goods and services to that of Plaintiff.
 - 63. Defendant performs the same genre of music as Plaintiff.
- 64. By using a Name similar to the SOUJLAH BOY Mark for music and entertainment services in the United States, Defendants were and are attempting to trade on

 the goodwill of Plaintiff Mr. Lyons, and to obtain an unfair commercial advantage over Plaintiff.

- 65. By using the SOULJA BOY name in the United States for entertainment services, Defendants were and are attempting to create an association between themselves and Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOX state of the Source of
- 66. On or around September 24, 2007, Plaintiff's counsel sent a cease and desist letter by facsimile and certified mail to Washington, DeAndre Way, Tracy Jenkins, Universal Music Group and Interscope. Plaintiff requested that each referenced Defendant respond within ten (10) business days.
- 67. Defendant Interscope responded to Plaintiff's above referenced counsel on or about September 24, 2007 by stating that DeAndre Way is signed to Interscope under Soulja Boy Tell 'Em.
- 68. In response to Interscope's correspondence dated October 5, 2007, Plaintiff's counsel sent a following up notice reiterating the need for Defendants to immediately cease and desist using identical marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to Plaintiff's, including the use of SOULJA BOY and SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM. Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel sent cease and desist letters to Mr. Way's attorney, Philip Ransom, on or about October 19, 2007 and October 22, 2007.
- 69. Prior to September 24, 2007, Defendant Interscope's website contained signage using the mark "SOULJA BOY" with no other distinguishing features. A true and accurate copy of Interscope's webpage demonstrating such use is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

-18

- Trademark Office by Defendant DeAndre Way and his counsel Washington apply to demonstrate use in commerce by DeAndre Way of the name "SOULJA BOY" with no other distinguishing features. A true and accurate copy of the specimens submitted with Defendant DeAndre Way's Federal Trademark 2 pplication 605 the Dance SOULJA BOY Page 12 of 29 hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 71. The specimens submitted by Washington to the United States Patent & Trademark Office in connection with DeAndre Way's application for the mark SOULJA BOY (the "Specimens") demonstrate Defendant DeAndre Way's use of the mark SOULJA BOY.
- 72. The Specimens demonstrate Defendant DeAndre Way's confusing use of the mark SOULJA BOY.
 - 73. The Specimens were submitted by Washington on or around June 11, 2007.
- 74. None the Specimens submitted demonstrate Defendant's use of the name "SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM".
- 75. Defendants did not use the name SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM as DeAndre Way's performing name prior to September 24, 2007.
- 76. Subsequent to receiving Plaintiff's counsel's above referenced cease and desist letters, Defendants have attempted to change the performing name of DeAndre Way from SOULJA BOY to SOULJA BOY TELL'EM.
- 77. Sometime after September 24, 2007, Defendant Interscope changed the signage on its official page from SOULJA BOY to SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM. A true and

 accurate copy of Interscope's webpage sometime subsequent to September 24, 2007 demonstrating such change is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

- 78. The name SOULJA BOY is still being used by Defendants to identify Defendant DeAndre Way.
- 79. The name SOULSA BOY-TEDIL6EM is Still bring used by Descendant 2007 Page 13 of 29 identify Defendant DeAndre Way.
- 80. Defendants' use of "SOULJA BOY" in its product promotion and advertising constitutes the use in commerce of a colorable imitation and copy of Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark. Upon information and belief, the two marks share nearly identical characters, with identical sound and similarity in meaning.
- 81. Defendants' use of "SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM" in its product promotion and advertising constitutes the use in commerce of a colorable imitation and copy of Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark. Such use also dilutes and blurs Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark. Upon information and belief, the two marks share nearly identical characters, with identical sound and similarity in meaning.
- 82. Defendants' use of "SOULJA BOY" for entertainment services, music and musical performances is deceptively and confusingly similar to Plaintiff's long-standing mark for entertainment services, music and musical performances.
- 83. Defendants' use of "SOULJA BOY TELL 'EM" in its product promotion and advertising constitutes the use in commerce of a colorable imitation and copy of Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark. Such use also dilutes and blurs Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark. Upon information and belief, the two marks share nearly identical characters, with identical

sound and similarity in meaning.

- 84. Defendants' use of "SOULJA GIRL" for apparel is deceptively and confusingly similar to Plaintiff's long-standing mark for entertainment services, music and musical performances, which is used on Plaintiff's merchandise.
- 85. On or around October: 0.720070 Designdant WIACOM was sent a connection with infringing usage of the Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark.

 Thereafter VIACOM's general counsel expressed they would contact Plaintiff's counsel.

 VIACOM has not contacted Plaintiff's counsel to date.
- 86. Subsequent to receiving Plaintiff's counsel's cease and desist letter VIACOM has continued to air and broadcast programs and videos displaying the Infringing Marks.
- 87. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's mark has caused confusion, mistake or deception in the minds of the public.
- 88. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's mark is likely to continue causing confusion, mistake or deception in the minds of the public.
- 89. Given Defendants' knowledge of Plaintiff Mr. Lyons and Plaintiff's mark SOULJAH BOY, Defendants' infringement constitutes a willful and malicious violation of Plaintiff's trademark rights, which actions prevent Plaintiff from continuing to build a career around a mark that he has long possessed.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Trademark Infringement under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114)

90. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.

- 91. Defendants have used and/or are using in commerce Plaintiff's name and marks.
- 92. Defendants' use in commerce of marks nearly identical and confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks for Defendants' services, and in Defendants' Internet domain names and associated websites constitutes a paper duction comparing, counterfeiting on colorable imitation of Plaintiff's trademarks in a manner that is likely to cause confusion or mistake or is likely to deceive consumers.

Page 15 of 29

- 93. By using marks identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks in Nevada, across the United States, and around the world, Defendants have intended to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive consumers.
- 94. Defendants are using marks identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale or advertising of services in a manner that is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive consumers as to affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' services or commercial activities by Plaintiff.
- 95. Defendants' use of marks identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademark has created a likelihood of confusion among consumers who may falsely believe that Defendants' music, performances or web sites are associated with Plaintiff's services or that Plaintiff sponsors or approves of Defendants' services or commercial activities.
- 96. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable harm to its business, reputation and goodwill.

6

10

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be 97. proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Cybersquatting under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d))

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as it set forth Page 16 of 29 98. herein.
- Defendants have registered, trafficked in, and/or used domain names that are 99. identical or confusingly similar to and/or dilutive of Plaintiff's trademarks, which were distinctive and/or famous at the time of registration of the domain names.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants have or have had a bad faith intent to 100. profit from Plaintiffs' SOULJAH BOY mark.
- As a direct and proximate result of such conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and 101. will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable injury to his business, reputation, and goodwill.
- Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be 102. proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a))

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as 103. if fully set forth herein.
- Defendants' use in commerce of marks identical and/or confusingly similar to 104. Plaintiff's trademarks in connection with Defendants' services, products, web sites, and

Internet domain names constitutes a false designation of origin and/or a false or misleading description or representation of fact, which is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive as to affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' services or commercial activities by Plaintiff.

Plaintiff's trademarks with the knowledge that Plaintiff owns and has used, and continues to use, the trademarks constitutes intentional conduct by Defendants to make false designations of origin and false descriptions about Defendants' services and commercial activities.

Page 17 of 29

- 106. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable harm to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 107. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Trademark Dilution under the Federal Anti-Dilution Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))

- 108. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 109. Through their adoption and consistent and extensive use, Plaintiff's trademarks have acquired fame and distinction.
- 110. Defendants' began using marks identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks in connection with Defendants' services, web sites, and Internet domain names after Plaintiff's trademarks became famous.

- 111. Defendants' use of marks identical and/ or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks has and will cause dilution of the distinctive quality of Plaintiff's trademarks and will otherwise cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff's business, reputation, and goodwill.
- 112. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to Suffer 21100 petary 1055 and irreparable harm to big business 2007 Page 18 of 29 reputation and goodwill.
- 113. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(State Trademark Infringement under N.R.S. 600.420)

- 114. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 115. Defendants have used and are using a mark, SOULJA BOY, which is confusingly similar and aurally equivalent to the SOULJAH BOY mark without consent of Plaintiff.
- 116. Defendants' use in commerce of Plaintiff's marks and/or marks confusingly similar to the SOULJAH BOY mark for Defendants' services, and in Internet domain names, on the associated web site, and in meta tags, constitutes a reproduction, copying, counterfeiting, and colorable imitation of Plaintiff's trademarks in a manner that is likely to cause confusion or mistake or is likely to deceive consumers.
- 117. By using Plaintiff's mark and/or marks confusingly similar to the SOULJAH BOY mark with the knowledge that Plaintiff owns and has used, and continues to use, his

trademarks in connection with the entertainment industry throughout the United States and abroad, Defendants have intended to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive consumers.

- 118. Defendants are using marks the same and/or confusingly similar to the SOULJAH BOY mark in connection with the sale, offering for sale, or advertising of services in a manner that is likely to conseconfusion-or missake posture consumers 2007/2007 Page 19 of 29 affiliation, connection, or association with Plaintiff or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' commercial activities by Plaintiff.
- 119. Defendants are also using marks the same and/or confusingly similar to the SOULJAH BOY mark in domain names and meta tags to cause initial interest confusion and divert Internet users away from Plaintiff's web sites.
- 120. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's marks and/or marks confusingly similar to the SOULJAH BOY mark has created a likelihood of confusion among consumers who may falsely believe that Defendants' services or web sites are associated with Plaintiff's property or that Plaintiff sponsor or approve of Defendants' services or commercial activities.
- 121. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable harm to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 122. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

///

///

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(State Trademark Dilution under N.R.S. 600.435)

- 123. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 124. Plaintiff's trademarks are distinctive. Through their adoption and consistent Case 2:07-cv-01635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007 and extensive use, Plaintiff's trademarks have acquired fame in the State of Nevada.

Page 20 of 29

- 125. Defendants began using marks that are the same and/or nearly identical to the SOULJAH BOY mark in connection with Defendants' services after the SOULJAH BOY mark became famous in the State of Nevada.
- 126. Defendants' use of marks nearly identical to the SOULJAH BOY marks have and will cause dilution of the distinctive quality of Plaintiff's trademarks and will otherwise cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff's business, reputation, and goodwill.
- 127. Upon information and belief, Defendants' use of marks confusingly similar to the SOULJAH BOY marks was willful in nature, in that Defendants intended to cause dilution of Plaintiff's marks or willfully intended to trade on the reputation of Plaintiff.
- 128. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable harm to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 129. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Common Law Trademark Infringement)

- 130. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
 - 131. By virtue of having used and continuing to use the SOULJAH BOY mark,

1 2

 Plaintiff has acquired common law rights in the SOULJAH BOY trademarks in connection with the entertainment industry.

132. Defendants' use of marks confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks infringes Plaintiff's common law rights in the SOULJAH BOY mark and is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers, who will believe that Defendants'

Case 2:07-cv-01635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007 services, web sites, and/or Internet domain names originate from, or are affiliated with, or endorsed by, Plaintiff, when in fact, they are not.

Page 21 of 29

- 133. As the direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's common law trademark rights under Nevada and other common law, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary damages and irreparable injury to his business, reputation, and goodwill.
- 134. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Deceptive Trade Practices under N.R.S. § 598.0915)

- 135. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 136. Upon information and belief, in the course of conducting their business,

 Defendants knowingly made false representations as to affiliation, connection, and/or
 association with Plaintiff by using marks identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's
 trademarks and otherwise engaged in deceptive practices.

- 137. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary loss and irreparable harm to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000,000 by. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff. Page 22 of 29

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud/Misrepresentation)

- 139. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
 - 140. Plaintiff owns the rights to the SOULJAH BOY mark.
- 141. Defendants were placed on notice that Defendants' use of the Infringing Marks is misleading the public and consumers and harms the Plaintiff's interest.
- 142. Defendants' have continued use of the Infringing Marks subsequent to being placed on notice by the Plaintiff.
- 143. Defendants' acts and representations caused justifiable reliance by consumers and the public.
 - 144. Defendants have no legal right, privilege, or justification for their conduct.
- 145. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misrepresentations, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary damages and irreparable injury to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 146. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.

 147. Based on the intentional, willful, and malicious nature of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff is entitled to recover exemplary damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Case 2:07(Eviesto Enrichment) ment 1 Filed 12/07/2007 Page 23 of 29

- 148. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 149. Plaintiff owns the rights to the SOULJAH BOY mark, including the right to use the SOULJAH BOY mark in advertising and marketing.
- 150. Defendants infringed Plaintiff's proprietary trademark rights by advertising and operating the Defendants' business using the Infringing Marks.
- 151. The Defendants' use of the Infringing Marks has conferred benefit on the Defendants.
 - 152. Defendants have no legal right, privilege, or justification for their conduct.
- 153. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of Plaintiff's proprietary trademark rights, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary damages and irreparable injury to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 154. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.
- 155. Based on the intentional, willful, and malicious nature of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff is entitled to recover exemplary damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 156. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 157. Plaintiff owns the rights to the SOUL JAH BOM mark in advertising and 007 Page 24 of 29 marketing.
- 158. Defendants infringed Plaintiffs' proprietary trademark rights by promoting the Defendants' business using the Infringing Marks without Plaintiff's consent.
- 159. Under NRS 30.010 through 30.160, this Court has the jurisdiction and the power to adjudicate the rights, status, and other legal relations of the Parties.
- 160. A justifiable controversy exists between the Plaintiff and Defendants as to right to use the disputed mark in connection with advertising and promoting in Nevada.
- 161. The Plaintiff's and Defendants' interests are adverse regarding this justifiable controversy.
- 162. Plaintiff's putative interest in the proprietary intellectual property, name, likeness, image and trademarks constitutes a legally protectable right.
- 163. This issue is ripe for judicial determination because, *inter alia*, it presents an existing controversy as to the Parties' rights and obligations vis-à-vis the proprietary trademarks and the rights of the Defendants to utilize the Infringing Marks that are identical and/or similar to the SOULJAH BOY mark and, further, harm is likely to occur in the future via the unauthorized use of the Plaintiff's proprietary intellectual property absent this Court's adjudication of the Parties' respective rights and duties.

	164.	Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment under the Uniform
Declar	atory Ju	dgment Acts, NRS 30.010 et seq., finding; (1) the Defendants cannot use the
Plainti	ff's SOI	ULJAH BOY marks; and (2) advertise in connection with the Infringing Marks.

- 165. Defendants' continued actions were and are conducted in bad faith and otherwise actionable under Newsda 2:07-cv-01635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007 Page 25 of 29
 - 166. Plaintiff lacks adequate legal remedy, as the property is unique.
- 167. Plaintiff requires an immediate declaration from this Court that Defendants' continued actions are contrary to Nevada Law.
- 168. Plaintiff requires temporary and permanent injunctive relief from the Court enjoining Defendants from using marks that are similar or identical to Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark.
 - 169. Defendants have no legal right, privilege, or justification for their conduct.
- 170. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of Plaintiff's proprietary trademark rights, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary damages and irreparable injury to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 171. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000.00 U.S. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff.
- 172. Based on the intentional, willful, and malicious nature of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff is entitled to recover exemplary damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

///

TWELTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Tortious Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage)

Page 26 of 29

- 173. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 174. Upon information and begin belief. Defendant padopted and began using marks 07 identical and/or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark knowing that Plaintiff owned the SOULJAH BOY mark and was in the business of providing entertainment and music services under said marks.
- 175. Upon information and belief, Defendants committed acts intended or designed to disrupt Plaintiff's prospective economic advantage arising from advertising and/or providing Defendants' services using marks confusingly similar to Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY mark.
- 176. Defendants' actions have disrupted or are intended to disrupt Plaintiff's business by, among other things, diverting web users way from Plaintiff's business and to Defendants' websites and/or businesses.
- 177. Defendants' actions have disrupted or are intended to disrupt Plaintiff's business by, among other things, preventing Plaintiff from being able to provide music and entertainment services using the SOULJAH BOY mark in the Las Vegas, Nevada area, as planned.
 - 178. Defendants have no legal right, privilege, or justification for their conduct.

- 179. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of Plaintiff's proprietary trademark rights, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, monetary damages and irreparable injury to his business, reputation and goodwill.
- 180. Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for an amount to be proven at trial in a sum in excess of \$10,000 00658. Dollars thereon, to compensate Plaintiff. Page 27 of 29
- 181. Based on the intentional, willful, and malicious nature of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff is entitled to recover exemplary damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Court grant the following relief:

- A. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants, their respective officers, agents, servants, employees and/or all persons acting in concert or participation with them or any of them, from (1) using Plaintiffs' SOULJAH BOY trademark or confusingly similar variations thereof, alone or in combination with any other letters, words, letter strings, phrases or designs, in commerce or in combination with any business or for any other purpose (including, but not limited to, web sites and domain names) in connection with the entertainment industry; and (2) registering or trafficking in any domain names containing Plaintiff's SOULJAH BOY trademark or confusingly similar variations thereof, alone or in combination with any other letters, words phrases or designs;
- B. That the Defendants be ordered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 to deliver up for destruction all containers, labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles, advertising,

promotional material or the like in possession, custody or under control of Defendants bearing a trademark found to infringe Plaintiff Mr.Lyon's SOULJAH BOY trademark rights, as well as all plates, matrices, and other means of making the same;

- C. An award of compensatory, consequential, statutory and punitive damages to

 Plaintiff in an amount to be determined/activials,635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007 Page 28 of 29
- D. An award of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff in prosecuting this action; and
 - E. All other relief to which Plaintiff is entitled.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands that all issues in this case be tried by a jury in accordance with the Seventh Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED this day of December, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

ALEXANDER, ODUNZE & KANG LLP

IKENNA K. ODUNZE, ESQ

Nevada Bar No. 9885

PATRICK W. KANG, ESQ.

Nevada Bar. No. 10381

5516 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Ste 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
,) 89
COUNTY OF CLARK)

WILLIE LYONS, under penalties of perjury, being first duly sworn deposes and says:

Case 2:07-cv-01635 Document 1 Filed 12/07/2007 Page 29 of 29

That Affiant is the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action; that he has read the foregoing

Complaint and knows the contents thereof, except for those matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters, he believes it to be true.

xid//WUL o

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 2 day of November, 2007.

NOTARY PUBLIC

County and State.