Received From 1 SEATTLE JUN 22 2007 2 3 4 5 6 7 JOHN M. "BUCK" ORMSBY, an individual; and 8 WAILERS", 9 Plaintiffs, 10 VS. 11 **ASTON "FAMILY MAN" BARRETT**, individually and doing business as "THE WAILERS"; AL ANDERSON, individually and doing business as "THE WAILERS": JUNIOR MARVIN, individually and doing business as "THE WAILERS"; KEVIN "YVAD" DAVY, individually 15 and doing business as "THE WAILERS"; KEITH STERLING, individually and doing business as "THE 16 WAILERS"; NAMBO ROBINSON, individually 17 and doing business as "THE WAILERS"; JUNIOR "CHICO" CHIN, individually and doing business as 18 "THE WAILERS": ABONGY BALENGOLA, individually and doing business as "THE 19 WAILERS"; ERNEST "DRUMMIE" WILLIAMS, individually and doing business as "THE 20 WAILERS"; MARIE DOMINUQUE LUCE, 21 individually and doing business as "THE WAILERS"; PASCALE KEMENI KAMGA. 22 individually and doing business as "THE WAILERS"; collectively forming the musical 23 performance group "THE WAILERS"; and John Does 1-10. 24 25 Defendants. 26

FA 16199

FILED _____ ENTERED LODGED RECEIVED JUN 2 0 2007 DJ

AT SEATTLE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

KENT E. MORRILL, an individual; collectively forming the musical performance group "THE

Case No.:

CV7 5305 RBL

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

64861 |||| 6148681 ||4864 ||4864 || 1664

07-CV-05305-CMP

COMPLAINT - 1

CARPELAW PLLC 2400 NW 80th Street #130 Seattle, Washington 98117 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 784-6305 (fax)

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

Plaintiffs JOHN M. "BUCK" ORMSBY, an individual ("Ormsby"), and KENT E. MORRILL, an individual ("Morrill"), collectively forming the musical performance group "The Wailers" and collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs", by their attorneys, CARPELAW PLLC, bring this action for Trademark Infringement, Trademark Dilution, Unfair Competition, Cybersquatting and supplemental claims against defendants ASTON "FAMILY MAN" BARRETT, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Barrett"); AL ANDERSON, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Anderson"); JUNIOR MARVIN, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Marvin"); KEVIN "YVAD" DAVY, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Davy"); KEITH STERLING, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Sterling"); JUNIOR "CHICO" CHIN, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Chin"); ABONGY BALENGOLA, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Balengola"); ERNEST "DRUMMIE" WILLIAMS, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Williams"); MARIE DOMINUQUE LUCE, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Luce"); and PASCALE KEMENI KAMGA, individually and doing business as "The Wailers" ("Kamga"), collectively forming the musical performance group "The Wailers"; and John Does 1-10, collectively referred to herein as "Defendants", and allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action in law and equity for Trademark Infringement in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1114(1), for dilution under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c), for unfair competition under Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), for violations of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d), and for common law unfair competition. As set forth below, Plaintiffs are the owner of a federal trademark registration for the distinctive and famous service mark WAILERS for use in connection with entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group in International Class 41. Plaintiffs have used the service mark "WAILERS" in interstate commerce in connection with its services continuously since

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338, and 15 U.S.C. § 1121. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the common law claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. Alternatively, this Court has diversity jurisdiction over FALCON'S claims because the parties are diverse and the claims herein exceed \$75,000, exclusive of attorneys' fees and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants and venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1391 and 1400, by virtue of the fact that, upon information and belief, the Defendants: (1) regularly solicit business in this state; (2) regularly solicit business within the United States; and (3) have committed tortious acts within the state by engaging in the activities set forth below in this state and causing injury to Plaintiffs in this state.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Ormsby is an individual residing within the State of Washington, having an address and principal place of business in Tacoma, Washington.
- 6. Plaintiff Morrill is an individual residing within the State of Washington, having an address and principal place of business in Tacoma, Washington.
- 7. Upon information and belief, defendant Barrett is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Barrett is the leader of Defendants' group The Wailers and is the groups' bassist.
- 8. Upon information and belief, defendant Anderson is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of the United States. Anderson is a guitarist for Defendants' group The Wailers.
 - 9. Upon information and belief, defendant Marvin is an individual that resides in and/or

21

22

23

24

25

26

is a citizen of Jamaica. Marvin is a guitarist for Defendants' group The Wailers.

- 10. Upon information and belief, defendant Davy is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Davy is the lead singer for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 11. Upon information and belief, defendant Sterling is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Sterling is the keyboard player for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 12. Upon information and belief, defendant Robinson is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Robinson is a horn player for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 13. Upon information and belief, defendant Chin is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Chin is a horn player for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 14. Upon information and belief, defendant Balengola is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Balengola is the drummer for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 15. Upon information and belief, defendant Williams is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Williams is the percussionist for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 16. Upon information and belief, defendant Luce is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Luce is a backing singer for Defendants' group The Wailers.
- 17. Upon information and belief, defendant Kamga is an individual that resides in and/or is a citizen of Jamaica. Kamga is a backing singer for Defendants' group The Wailers.

FACTS COMMON TO THE COUNTS

Plaintiffs' Musical Group The Wailers.

- 18. Plaintiffs are members of the musical group known throughout the world as "The Wailers."
- 19. For almost fifty years, The Wailers have been one of the most important and influential musical groups in history.
 - 20. Plaintiff Morrill has been a member of The Wailers since its beginning in 1959.
 - 21. Plaintiff Ormsby joined The Wailers on or about 1960.

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

WAILERS for use in connection with entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group in International Class 41.

- 33. Plaintiffs' mark has been Registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office since January 7, 2003.
- 34. Plaintiffs' WAILERS service mark is inherently distinctive to the public and the trade with respect to entertainment services provided by live musical groups.
- 35. Plaintiffs' WAILERS service mark is a famous mark that is widely recognized by the trade and the public, and has built up extensive goodwill.
- 36. As a result of the extensive advertising, marketing, promotion and widespread use of Plaintiffs' WAILERS service mark during the past forty-eight years, (a) the public and the trade have come to recognize WAILERS as exclusively identifying the services of the Plaintiffs and as distinguishing the services of the Plaintiffs' from the services of others, and (b) said service mark has gained secondary meaning and extensive goodwill.

Defendants' Infringing Activities

- 37. Defendants, individually and/or collectively, have performed entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group as a musical group called The Wailers since the registration of Plaintiffs' WAILERS mark on January 7, 2003.
- 38. Defendants, individually and/or collectively, currently perform entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group as a musical group called The Wailers.
- 39. Defendants have wrongfully obtained the registration for the Internet domain name "WAILERS.COM" from Network Solutions, Inc.
- 40. Defendants currently use the "WAILERS.COM" domain name in the marketing, promotion and sale on the Internet worldwide, including in the United States, of Defendants' entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group called The Wailers
- 41. Defendants' activities have caused and continue to cause confusion in the industry and amongst the general public concerning the identities of the Defendants' group The Wailers and

the Plaintiffs' group The Wailers.

- Defendants' aforesaid acts are intended to, are likely to and actually do blur and erode the distinctiveness of the WAILERS mark and tarnish the reputation of the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs' famous WAILERS mark and the Plaintiffs' products and services.
- Defendants aforesaid acts have caused, and will continue to cause irreparable harm to the Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' WAILERS mark, and to the business and substantial goodwill represented thereby, and said acts and damage will continue unless restrained by this Court.
- Defendants, as members of and/or performers with Defendants' musical group The Wailers, Defendants, are jointly and severally liable for all damage caused to Plaintiffs by any of Defendants' acts alleged herein.
 - Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

(Trademark infringement, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1))

- Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 45 above as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' WAILERS mark in commerce as described above is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation or approval of Defendants' goods and/or services.
- Defendants' use of Plaintiffs' WAILERS mark in commerce as described above has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiffs for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 49. Defendants' aforementioned acts constitute willful infringement of Plaintiffs' federally registered trademark for WAILERS in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

25

22

23

24

26

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

//

26

COUNT II (Federal Trademark Dilution, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))

- 50. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 49 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. Plaintiffs' federally registered trademark for WAILERS is a famous mark, and became famous prior to Defendants' first use of the mark WAILERS to describe Defendants' entertainment services as alleged herein.
- 52. Plaintiffs' federally registered trademark for WAILERS is inherently distinctive to the public and the trade with respect to entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group.
- 53. By such wrongful acts as described herein, Defendants have and, unless restrained by the Court, will continue to cause serious irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiffs and to the goodwill associated with Plaintiffs' famous WAILERS mark by diluting and blurring its distinctive quality.
- 54. Defendants' aforementioned acts constitute willful dilution of Plaintiffs' federally registered trademark for WAILERS in violation of the Federal Trademark Dilution Act, codified at Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

COUNT III (Unfair Competition, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1))

- 55. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 54 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. Defendants' aforementioned acts constitute unfair competition in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1115(a).
 - 57. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the defendants' aforementioned acts.

COMPLAINT - 8

COUNT IV (Cybersquatting, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d))

- 58. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 57 above as though fully set forth herein.
 - 59. Plaintiffs' federally registered trademark for WAILERS is a famous mark.
- 60. Plaintiffs' federally registered trademark for WAILERS is inherently distinctive to the public and the trade with respect to entertainment services in the nature of a live musical group.
- 61. Upon information and belief, Defendants have a bad faith intent to profit, and have in fact profited, from the use of the "WAILERS.COM" Internet domain name.
- 62. The second-level domain name portion of the "WAILERS.COM" mark, the word "WAILERS," is identical to Plaintiffs' federal registrations for the service mark WAILERS.
- 63. By their acts, Defendants have deprived the Plaintiffs of the opportunity to conduct business via an organ of interstate commerce, namely the Internet, utilizing Plaintiffs' federally-registered trademark WAILERS as an Internet domain name, and by wrongfully profiting from the "WAILERS.COM" Internet domain name in violation of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act under Section 43(d) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).

COUNT IV (Common Law Unfair Competition)

- 64. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 63 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. Defendants' aforementioned acts constitute unfair competition under the common law.
 - 66. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the defendants' aforementioned acts.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request judgment as follows:

- 1. That the Court enter a judgment against the Defendants that Defendants have willfully infringed Plaintiffs' rights in the federally registered trademark referenced herein in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 2. That the court grant a preliminary and permanent injunction restraining Defendants, their officers, directors, principals, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and all individuals acting in concert or participation with them, from using on or in connection with any product or service or the manufacture, importation, exportation, sale, offering for sale, distribution, advertising, promotion, labeling or packaging of any product or service, or for using for any commercial purpose whatsoever: (1) the designation WAILERS; (2) the Internet domain name "WAILERS.COM;" (3) any other designation that is likely to cause dilution of the distinctiveness of the Plaintiffs' trademark or injury to Plaintiffs' business reputation; or (4) any other name, mark or term likely to cause mistake in the mind of the public or to deceive the public into the belief that defendant's business and/or products and/or services are in any way associated with or related to Plaintiffs' or their services.
- 3. That the court enter an order directing Defendants to relinquish all rights in the Internet domain name "WAILERS.COM," and to transfer the Internet domain name "WAILERS.COM" to Plaintiffs.
- 4. That the court enter an order directing Defendants to account to Plaintiffs for any and all profits derived by them from their infringing use of the mark WAILERS and from their infringing use of "WAILERS.COM" Internet domain name.
- 5. That the court enter an order awarding Plaintiffs a monetary judgment against Defendants for the maximum damages allowable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).
 - 6. That the court enter an order trebling the amount of such award on account of

COMPLAINT - 11

CARPELAW PLLC 2400 NW 80th Street #130 Seattle, Washington 98117

(206) 624-2379 - (206) 784-6305 (fax)

EXHIBIT A

an United States of America

CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION PRINCIPAL REGISTER

The Mark shown in this certificate has been registered in the United States Patent and Trademark Office to the named registrant.

The records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office show that an application for registration of the Mark shown in this Certificate was filed in the Office; that the application was examined and determined to be in compliance with the requirements of the law and with the regulations prescribed by the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office; and that the Applicant is entitled to registration of the Mark under the Trademark Act of 1946, as Amended.

A copy of the Mark and pertinent data from the application are part of this certificate.

This registration shall remain in force for TEN (10) years, unless terminated earlier as provided by law, and subject to compliance with the provisions of Section 8 of the Trademark Act of 1946, as Amended.



Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Requirements for Maintaining **a** Federal Trademark Registration

SECTION 8: AFFIDAVIT OF CONTINUED USE

The registration shall remain in force for 10 years, except that the registration shall be canceled for failure to file an Affidavit of Continued Use under Section 8 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. §1058, upon the expiration of the following time periods:

i) At the end of 6 years following the date of registration.

ii) At the end of each successive 10-year period following the date of registration.

Failure to file a proper Section 8 Affidavit at the appropriate time will result in the cancellation of the registration.

SECTION 9: APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL

The registration shall remain in force for 10 years, subject to the provisions of Section 8, except that the registration shall expire for failure to file an Application for Renewal under Section 9 of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. §1059, at the end of each successive 10-year period following the date of registration.

Failure to file a proper Application for Renewal at the appropriate time will result in the expiration of the registration.

No further notice or reminder of these requirements will be sent to the Registrant by the Fatent and Trudemark Office. It is recommended that the Registrant contact the Patent and Trademark Office approximately one year before the expiration of the time periods shown above to determine the requirements and fees for the filings required to maintain the registration.

Int. Cl.: 41

Prior U.S. Cls.: 100, 101 and 107

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Reg. No. 2,670,784

Registered Jan. 7, 2003

SERVICE MARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER

WAILERS

DANGEL, WILLIAM R. (UNITED STATES INDI-VIDUAL)
2315 N. PEARL STREET
TACOMA, WA 98406 AND
MORRILL, KENT E. (UNITED STATES INDIVI-DUAL)
2315 N. PEARL STREET
TACOMA, WA 98406 AND
ORMSBY, JOHN M. (UNITED STATES INDIVI-DUAL)
2315 N. PEARL STREET
TACOMA, WA 98406 FOR: ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES IN THE NATURE OF A LIVE MUSICAL GROUP, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

FIRST USE 5-3-1959; IN COMMERCE 5-3-1959.

SER. NO. 75-819,757, FILED 10-12-1999.

CAROLYN GRAY, EXAMINING ATTORNEY