04-CR-05460-PLAGR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

NO, CR04-5460FDB

V.

PLEA AGREEMENT

SCOTT LANEY,

Ź

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

The United States of America, by and through John McKay, United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Annette L. Hayes, Assistant United States Attorney for said District, and the defendant, SCOTT LANEY, and his attorney, Richard Troberman, enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c):

- 1. The Charges. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter pleas of guilty to the following charges contained in the Indictment:
- Conspiracy to Traffic in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program a. Documentation, as charged in Count One, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371; and,
- Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, as charged in **b**. Count Two, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

By entering these pleas of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of
the charging document. Defendant further understands that before entering his pleas of
guilty, Defendant will be placed under oath. Any statement given by Defendant under
oath may be used by the United States in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.

- 2. <u>Elements of the Offenses</u>. The elements of the offenses to which Defendant is pleading guilty are as follows:
- a. <u>Conspiracy to Traffic in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program</u>

 <u>Documentation</u>, as charged in Count One, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,

 Section 371:
- i. First, beginning on or about 2000, and ending on or about August 26, 2004, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit the crime of Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation, as set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2318;
- ii. Second, Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and,
- iii. Third, one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.
- b. <u>Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering</u>, as charged in Count Two, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h)
- i. First, beginning on or about 2000, and ending on or about August 26, 2004, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit the crime of Money Laundering, as set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(A) and 1957;
- ii. Second, Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and,
- iii. Third, one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

i	The elements of the offenses that constitute the objects of the above-described		
2	conspiracies are:		
3	c. <u>Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program</u>		
4	Documentation, the object of the Conspiracy charged in Count Onc:		
5	 First, Defendant knowingly trafficked in a counterfeit label 		
6	affixed to, enclosing, or accompanying, or designed to be affixed to, enclose, or		
7	accompany a copy of a computer program or documentation or packaging, or counterfeit		
8	documentation or packaging; and,		
۷	ii. Second, Defendant did use or intend to use the mail or a		
10	facility of interstate or foreign commerce in the commission of the offense.		
ii	d. Money Laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code.		
12	Section 1956(a)(1)(A), one of the objects of the Conspiracy charged in Count Two:		
13	i. First, Defendant conducted a financial transaction involving		
14	property that represented the proceeds of Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer		
15	Program Documentation;		
16	ii. Second, Defendant knew that the property represented the		
17	proceeds of Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation;		
18	and,		
19	iii. Third, Defendant acted with the intent to promote the carrying		
20	on of Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation.		
21	e. Money Laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,		
22	Section 1957, one of the objects of the Conspiracy charged in Count Two:		
23	 First, Defendant knowingly engaged or attempted to engage in 		
24	a monetary transaction;		
25	ii. Second, Defendant knew the transaction involved criminally		
26	derived property;		
27	iii. Third, the property had a value of greater than ten thousand		
28	dollars (\$10,000.00);		

7

8

11

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

> 27 28

- iv. Fourth, that the property was, in fact, derived from Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Documentation; and,
 - v. Fifth, the transaction occurred in the United States.
- 3. <u>The Penalties</u>. Defendant understands that the statutory penaltics for the offenses are as follows:
- a. <u>Count One</u> (Conspiracy to Traffic in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation): imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) years and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) penalty assessment. If Defendant receives a sentence of probation, the probationary period could be up to five (5) years. Defendant agrees that the penalty assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.
- b. <u>Count Two</u> (Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering): imprisonment for up to twenty (20) years, a fine of up to five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) penalty assessment. If Defendant receives a sentence of probation, the probationary period could be up to five (5) years. Defendant agrees that the penalty assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant understands that in addition to any term of imprisonment and/or fine that is imposed, the Court may order Defendant to pay restitution to any victim of the offense, as required by law. Defendant further understands that a consequence of pleading guilty may include the forfeiture of certain property either as a part of the sentence imposed by the Court, or as a result of civil judicial or administrative process. In particular, when a person is convicted of the offense of Trafficking in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation the Court shall order the forfeiture and destruction or other disposition of all counterfeit labels or illicit labels and all articles to which counterfeit labels or illicit labels have been affixed or which were intended to have

5

11

12

10

13

(4 15

16 17

18

19

peers:

20

22

23

21

24

25

26

27

28

had such labels affixed, and of any equipment, device, or material used to manufacture, reproduce, or assemble the counterfeit labels or illicit labels. In addition, when a person is convicted of Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, the Court shall order forfeiture to the United States of all property involved in the offense.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more of its conditions, he could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

- 4. <u>Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty</u>. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, he knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:
 - The right to plead not guilty, and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Defendant's
- c. The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if Defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for Defendant;
- d. The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established at trial, beyond a reasonable doubt;
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against Defendant at trial;
- f. The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on Defendant's behalf at trial;

5

6 7 8

10 11

9

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

24

25

2.3

26 27

- The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which trial such silence could not be used against Defendant; and
 - The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings. h.
- 5. United States Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands and acknowledges that, at sentencing, the Court must consider the sentencing range calculated under the United States Sentencing Guidelines, together with the other factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), including: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s); (2) the history and characteristics of the defendant; (3) the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense; (4) the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (5) the need for the sentence to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; (6) the need to provide the defendant with educational and vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (7) the kinds of sentences available; (8) the need to provide restitution to victims: and (9) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparity among defendants involved in similar conduct who have similar records. Accordingly, Defendant understands and acknowledges that:
- The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing a. Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;
- After consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and the other factors in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the maximum term authorized by law;
- The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties, or by the United States Probation Department; and
- đ. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.

5

8

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

28

27

- 6. <u>Ultimate Sentence</u>. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- 7. Restitution. Defendant shall make restitution to Microsoft Corporation and Symantec Corporation in an amount to be determined at the time of sentencing, with credit for any amounts already paid. Said amounts shall be due and payable immediately and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as set by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court.
- 8. <u>Statement of Facts</u>. The parties agree on the following facts in support of Defendant's guilty pleas and sentencing. Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offenses.

Introduction

- a. At all times relevant herein, Microsoft Corporation (hereinafter "Microsoft") was a company headquartered in Redmond, Washington, that was involved in developing, manufacturing, and distributing computer software.
- b. At all times relevant herein, the Microsoft Company Store was an outlet for Microsoft employees to purchase Microsoft computer software and other products at a discount.
- c. At all times relevant herein, the Microsoft Internal Product Ordering (IPO) program known as MS Market allowed authorized Microsoft employees to order an unlimited amount of Microsoft computer software and other products, for business-related purposes only. The computer software ordered through the IPO program was provided to employees at no cost to them.
- d. At all times relevant herein, Microsoft sold computer software through a number of distribution channels including, but not limited to, the following:
- i. Retail computer software through Microsoft licensed manufacturers and distributors to various retail outlets. Retail computer software is not specifically labeled as such, but it is sold in specific retail packaging.

SCOTT LANEY since on or about 2002. FBSS Tech is a distributor of computer

27

28

software.

5

10 11

12

14

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

2627

}

- g. Smart Software Sales, which is a business name used by Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY since on or about 2001. Smart Software Sales is a distributor of computer software.
- h. DRD Enterprises, Inc., which was registered in Washington as a domestic business corporation on December 11, 2002. Business records identify Donald Desrochers as its president and director.

Object of the Counterfeit Labeling Conspiracy.

i. The object of the conspiracy was as follows: beginning at a time unknown, but no later than 2000, and continuing thereafter until August 26, 2004, at Vancouver, Seattle, and at other places within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, together with other persons, did unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate, and agree among themselves and with each other to knowingly traffic in counterfeit labels affixed, or designed to be affixed to computer programs, and documentation or packaging for computer programs, to wit, Microsoft and other companies' computer programs, or documentation or packaging for Microsoft and other companies' computer programs, and counterfeit documentation or packaging for computer programs, to wit, End User License Agreements (hereinafter "EULAs") for Microsoft and other companies' computer programs, and did use or intend to use the mail or a facility of interstate or foreign commerce in the commission of the offense, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2318.

Purposes of the Counterfeit Labeling Conspiracy.

j. A purpose of the conspiracy was to: (a) obtain Microsoft and other companies' computer software that was labeled "Academic Edition," "Not for Resale," "OEM" (Original Equipment Manufacturer), or otherwise, that therefore could be purchased for less than the ordinary wholesale and/or retail price; (b) apply counterfeit labels, including by removing or erasing part of the existing label or placing a counterfeit label on the computer software and/or the packaging; and © then sell the computer

ĺ

6

9

12

14

15 16

17 18

20

19

22

21

2324

26 27

28

25

software at a higher price than the purchase price, in some cases approaching the actual wholesale and/or retail price of the computer software.

k. A purpose of the conspiracy also was to: (a) obtain Microsoft and other companies' server software that included a EULA for a specified number of users; (b) crase or otherwise obliterate the number of licensed users set forth on the EULA and replace it with a higher number; and © then sell the server software at a higher price than the one for which it was purchased, in some cases a price approaching the actual wholesale and/or retail price of the computer software.

Manner and Means of the Counterfeit Labeling Conspiracy.

As a part of the Counterfeit Labeling Conspiracy:

- 1. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, purchased or directed others persons to purchase Microsoft and other companies' computer software at below market prices from various individuals and entities.
- m. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, purchased or directed others to purchase Microsoft computer software at below market prices from persons who had obtained it directly or indirectly from Microsoft employees that had purchased it at a significant discount from the Microsoft Company Store, or had obtained it at no cost through the Microsoft IPO program.
- n. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, purchased or directed others to purchase Academic Edition versions of Microsoft computer software at market prices for that product, but below market prices for the same retail product, from various sources; caused counterfeit labels to be affixed thereto and/or counterfeit packaging and documentation to be accompany it; and sold or attempted to sell the software to consumers.
- o. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, purchased or directed others to purchase Microsoft computer software for use by OEMs at below market prices; caused counterfeit labels to be affixed thereto and/or counterfeit

packaging and documentation to accompany it; and sold or attempted to sell the software to consumers.

- p. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, recruited other persons to obtain and manufacture counterfeit labels, including counterfeit Certificate of Authenticity (hereinafter "COA") labels, and apply them to Microsoft and other companies' computer software or packaging.
- q. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, recruited other persons to alter genuine labels on Microsoft and other companies' computer software or packaging, so as to produce counterfeit labels, or alter genuine Microsoft and other companies' computer software packaging and documentation so as to produce counterfeit Microsoft and other companies' computer software packaging and documentation.
- r. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, recruited other persons to alter the EULAs for Microsoft and other companies' computer software to increase the number of authorized users for the software.
- s. Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other coconspirators, recruited other persons to package and ship Microsoft and other companies' computer software or packaging, with counterfeit labels affixed on them, or counterfeit documentation or packing included with them, to customers of Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY's businesses including the businesses identified in paragraph 8.c. above using facilities of interstate or foreign commerce, including Federal Express.
- t. The persons that Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY hired and directed in the activities described in paragraphs 8.1. through 8.s. above include, but are not limited to: Donald Desrochers, DRD Enterprises, Arlyn Maldonado, Terry Haber, Tony Villani, Tony Magedanz, Shawn Stockford, Travis Tabema, Jonathan White, Sarah White and Arnica Eller Grace.

27

26

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28 | /

//

ĺ

3

4

6

7 8

10

9

12

H

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

2324

25

26 27

28

Overt Acts.

- u. In furtherance of the conspiracies, and to promote the objects thereof, Tobias Grace, SCOTT LANEY and other persons committed and caused to be committed, among others, the following overt acts:
- i. On or about October 10, 2000, at the direction of Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, Quest Computers sold Microsoft computer software to an undercover investigator for Microsoft Corporation, including Microsoft Windows 98 and Microsoft Office 2000, that included, among other things, counterfeit labeling on the CD-ROMs containing the computer software and counterfeit manuals.
- ii. In or about 2001, Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY hired Donald Desrochers to work for Smart Software Sales, in Vancouver, Washington, including to manufacture and/or affix counterfeit labels to computer software and packaging, including Microsoft computer software and packaging.
- iii. On or about September 13, 2001, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 8526, for \$10,000.00, payable to Donald Desrochers, with the notation "Cash for Seattle Trip COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.
- iv. On or about October 22, 2001, SCOTT LANEY obtained cashier's check number 227811, for \$57,675.00, payable to Robert Howdeshell, from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.
- v. On or about February 8, 2002, SCOTT LANEY obtained cashier's check number 229580, for \$124,080.00, payable to Robert Howdeshell, from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.

from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened

27

4

5

3

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 2.5

26 27

28

in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.

XII. On or about December 19, 2002. Tobias Grace issued check number 5654, for \$6,550.00, payable to Donald Desrochers, with the notation "Services," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.

xiii. In or about January or February 2003, Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY hired Travis Tabema to work for Smart Software Sales, in Vancouver. Washington, and assigned him to package and ship computer software to Smart Software Sales and/or FBSS Tech customers, and manufacture and/or affix counterfeit labels to computer software and packaging, including Microsoft computer software and packaging.

On or about January 7, 2003, SCOTT LANEY issued check xiv. number 5758, for \$13,970.00, payable to Donald Desrochers, with the notation "COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.

On or about February 19, 2003, at the direction of Tobias XV. Grace and SCOTT LANEY, Smart Software Sales sold COA labels for Microsoft computer software to an undercover investigator for Microsoft Corporation that had been altered to remove the reference to the OEM names "Premio" and "IBM."

On or about April 18, 2003, at the direction of Tobias Grace xvi. and SCOTT LANEY, Smart Software Sales sold Microsoft computer software to an undercover investigator for Microsoft Corporation, including Microsoft Windows 2000 and Picture It! Publishing, that included, among other things, COA labels that were counterfeit and manuals that had been altered to remove the reference to the OEM name "IBM."

8

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

2324

2.5

26

27

28

xvii. On or about May 15, 2003, at the direction of Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, Smart Software Sales sold Microsoft computer software to an undercover investigator for Microsoft Corporation, including Microsoft Windows 2000, that included, among other things, counterfeit manuals, counterfeit labeling on the CD-ROMs and a counterfeit COA label.

xviii. On or about August 1, 2003, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 7580, for \$12,050.00, payable to Mike Ruffin, with the notation "COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech.

xix. On or about April 8, 2004, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 2045, for \$11,850.00, payable to DRD Enterprises, with the notation "COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505557967, opened in the pame of FBSS Tech.

xx. On or about April 22, 2004, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 2095, for \$18,045.00, payable to DRD Enterprises, with the notation "COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505557967, opened in the name of FBSS Tech.

Objects of the Money Laundering Conspiracy.

- v. To conduct or attempt to conduct financial transactions affecting interstate commerce involving proceeds of specified unlawful activity, that is, trafficking in counterfeit labels and computer program documentation, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2318, knowing that the property involved in the financial transactions represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and with the intent to promote the carrying on of the specified unlawful activity, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(A); and,
- w. To knowingly and willfully engage and attempt to engage in monetary transactions by, through, and to financial institutions, which monetary

4 5

6

7 8

10

()

11

13 14

1.5

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

l

transactions affected interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000.00, such property having been derived from specified unlawful activity, that is, trafficking in counterfeit labels and computer program documentation, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2318, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.

Overt Acts.

- x. In furtherance of the conspiracies, and to promote the objects thereof, Tobias Grace and SCOTT LANEY, and other persons known and unknown, committed and caused to be committed, among others, the following overt acts:
- i. On or about August 14, 2001, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 4189, for \$19,000.00, payable to Donald Desrochers, with the notation "COGS Seattle," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 505541068, opened in the name of QCW Technology Enterprises, Inc., doing business as Quest Computers, to pay Donald Desrochers for producing counterfeit labels for computer software to further the Conspiracy Charged in Count One of the Indictment.
- ii. On or about December 10, 2002, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 5593, for \$12,659.00, payable to Donald Desrochers, with the notation "Services/COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech, for producing counterfeit labels for computer software to further the Conspiracy Charged in Count One of the Indictment.
- iii. On or about December 16, 2002, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 5631, for \$10,267.00, payable to Donald Desrochers, with the notation "Services," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech for

producing counterfeit labels for computer software to further the Conspiracy Charged in

)

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Count One of the Indictment.

- iv. On or about January 16, 2003, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 5825, for \$11,015.00, payable to DRD Enterprises, with the notation "COGS/Services," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech, for producing counterfeit labels for computer software to further the Conspiracy Charged in Count One of the Indictment.
- v. On or about February 19, 2003, SCOTT LANEY issued check number 6059, for \$12,960.00, payable to DRD Enterprises, with the notation "COGS/Services," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech for producing counterfeit labels for computer software to further the Conspiracy Charged in Count One of the Indictment.
- vi. On or about June 6, 2003, Tobias Grace issued check number 6901, for \$37,803.00, payable to DRD Enterprises, with the notation "COGS," from funds contained in Riverview Community Bank, Account No. 00505547325, opened in the name of Twenty First Century E Software, Inc., doing business as Smart Software and, as added later, also doing business as FBSS Tech for producing counterfeit labels for computer software to further the Conspiracy Charged in Count One of the Indictment.

The Warehouse at 3315 NE 112th Avenue, Suites Λ42, A43 and A44, Vancouver, WΛ

y. During the conspiracies set forth above, Tobias Grace, SCOTT LANEY and other coconspirators used a number of different locations to store, produce, and ship counterfeit, and counterfeit labeled computer software, including Microsoft computer software. Those locations include, but are not limited to: (1) 12019 NE 99th Street, Suite 1710, Vancouver, Washington; (2) 6115 E 18th Street, Vancouver,

6

8

ij

7

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

2324

25 26

27

28

§ 2B5.3(a);

Washington; (3) 9006 NE 117th Avenue, Vancouver, Washington; (4) 2865 NE 65th Avenue, Unit C, Vancouver, Washington.

- z. Beginning on or about February 2004, the warehouse located at 3315 NE 112th Avenue, Suites A42, A43 and A44, in Vancouver, Washington (hereinafter "the Warehouse"), was used by Tobias Grace, SCOTT LANEY and other coconspirators during and in furtherance of the above-described conspiracies to produce, store and ship counterfeit, and counterfeit labeled computer software, including Microsoft computer software. Nevertheless, there is no evidence that SCOTT LANEY was ever physically present at the Warehouse during the above-described time period.
- warehouse, it contained hundreds of thousands of pieces of computer software. In particular, it contained among other things, computer software on CD-ROM disks, computer software manuals, computer software packaging, COAs, EULAs, Client Access Licenses (hereinafter "CALs"), and other components of computer software from a variety of companies including, but not limited to, Microsoft. These items include: (1) counterfeit computer programs, (2) counterfeit labels affixed, or designed to be affixed to computer programs, and documentation or packaging for computer programs, and (3) counterfeit documentation or packaging for computer programs. The total retail value of the infringed items contained in the Warehouse is not less than nine million, four hundred and sixty-nine thousand, four hundred and sixteen dollars (\$9,469,416), but not more than twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000).

9. Sentencing Factors.

- a. The parties agree and stipulate that in light of the statement of facts set forth in paragraph 8, above, the following Sentencing Guidelines provisions apply to his plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment (Conspiracy to Traffic in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation):
 - i. A base offense level of eight (8), pursuant to USSG

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- c. The parties remain free to argue regarding the application of any other provision of the United States Sentencing Guidelines.
- pursuant to USSG § 3D1.1 and § 3D1.2, that Counts One and Two group together, and pursuant to USSG § 3D1.3(b), that the offense level applicable to Count One (Conspiracy to Traffic in Counterfeit Labels and Computer Program Documentation) as set forth in paragraph 9. applies in this case because it produces the greatest offense level under the United States Sentencing Guidelines.
- 11. <u>Infringement Amount</u>. For purposes of determining the appropriate sentence, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington and Defendant stipulate and agree that in light of the statement of facts set forth in paragraph 8. above, the infringement amount in this case is not less than nine million, four hundred and sixty-nine thousand, four hundred and nineteen dollars (\$9,469,416), but not more than twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000).
- 12. Forfeiture of Contraband. Defendant also agrees pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2318(d), to the forfeiture and destruction or other disposition of all counterfeit labels or illicit labels and all articles to which counterfeit labels or illicit labels have been affixed or which were intended to have had such labels affixed, and of any equipment, device, or material used to manufacture, reproduce, or assemble the counterfeit labels or illicit labels, including but not limited to his interest in all items seized from:
 - a. 6518 NE 47th Street, Vancouver, Washington 98661.
 - b. 23501 NE 120th Court, Battle Ground, Washington 98604.
 - c. 237 NE Chaklov Drive, Suite 112, Vancouver, Washington 98684.
- d. 3315 NE 112th Avenue, Suites A42, A43 and A44, Vancouver, Washington 98682.
 - e. 7929 NE St. Johns Blvd., Vancouver, Washington 98665.
 - f. 35811 NE Lewisville Highway, Yacolt, Washington.

25

26

27

- Other Forfeiture. Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States immediately all of his right, title and interest in any and all property, real or personal (a) constituting, or derived from, any proceeds traceable to the offense charged in Count One of the Indictment, that are subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461©, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)© and (b), that was involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of the money laundering offense charged in Count Two of the Indictment, and any property traceable to such property, that is subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, including, but not limited to, the following assets and all proceeds therefrom:
- a. The residence and real property located at 23501 NE 120th Court, Battleground, Washington. The United States agrees that following entry of a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture with respect to this property, defendant Scott Laney and his family may continue to occupy this residence under an occupancy agreement with the United States Marshals Service, until such time as Scott Laney begins service of his prison sentence;
- b. Approximately \$22,000.00 in proceeds from the interlocutory sale of one (1) 2002 Chevrolet Tahoe, VIN 1GNEK12Z42R148707;
- c. Approximately \$55,124.37 representing the cash balance and proceeds from the sale of securities frozen in Southwest Securities Account # 677977315;
- d. Approximately \$82,620.70 representing the cash balance and proceeds from the sale of securities frozen Southwest Securities Account # 463199847;
- e. Approximately \$32,936.31 representing the cash balance and proceeds from the surrender of Northwestern Mutual Policy # 16545904;
- f. Approximately \$14,811.14 in proceeds from the Insurance Service Account, Northwest Mutual Life;
- g. Approximately \$141,593.71 in U.S. Currency seized at 23501 NE 120th Court, Battleground, Washington;

ļ

5

8

12 13

[]

14 15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

2.3 24

25 26

27 28

- h. Approximately \$146,624.17 in proceeds from Riverview Community Bank Account # 0505557967, held in the name of FBSS Tech; and
- Approximately \$146,624.71 in proceeds from Riverview Community Bank Account # 0505547325, held in the name of Twenty First Century E-Software.

Defendant agrees that each of the listed assets is the proceeds of unlawful activity or involved in the money laundering violations as set forth in Counts One and Two of the Indictment.

Defendant agrees to fully assist the United States in the forfeiture of the listed assets and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States, including but not limited to: surrendering title and executing any documents necessary to effectuate such forfeiture; assisting in bringing any assets located outside the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States; and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden, or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture. Defendant agrees not to file a claim to any of the listed property in any civil forfeiture proceeding, administrative or judicial, which may be initiated.

Defendant further agrees to provide a truthful proffer or statement regarding all of his assets, and to make a full and complete disclosure of all assets in which Defendant has any interest or over which Defendant exercises control and those which are held or controlled by a nominec(s). Defendant further agrees to submit to a polygraph examination on the issue of assets if it is deemed necessary by the United States.

The United States reserves its right to proceed against any remaining assets not identified in this Plea Agreement, including any property in which Defendant has any interest or control, if said assets, real or personal, tangible or intangible constitute or are traceable to proceeds of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2318, or were involved in violations of Title, 18, United States Code, Sections 1956 or 1957.

14. Property Not Subject to Forfeiture. The United States agrees that it will not seek forfeiture of the following assets:

4 5

6

7 8

10

11

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

27

26

28

- a 2003 Cheyrolet Suburban, VIN 3GNFK16Z63G194458; and a.
- \$77,861.24 from Riverview Community Bank Account b.

No. 0505541041.

- Acceptance of Responsibility. The United States acknowledges that 15. if Defendant qualifies for an acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a) and if the offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, Defendant's total offense level should be decreased by three (3) levels pursuant to USSG §§ 3E1.1(a) and (b), because Defendant has assisted the United States by timely notifying the authorities of his intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, 16. the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes that the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges that the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by Defendant.
- Voluntariness of Plea. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into 17. this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter these pleas of guilty.
- Statute of Limitations. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by 18. the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of

5

3

6

9

8

11 12

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

PLEA AGREEMENT (Scott Laney, Case No. CR04-5460FDB) - 24

the Plea Agreement to: (1) thirty (30) days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) thirty (30) days following the date on which a breach of the Plca Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.

- 19. Post-Plea Conduct. Defendant understands that the terms of this Plea Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this Agreement. If, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should engage in illegal conduct, or conduct that is in violation of his/her conditions of release (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the Pretrial Services Officer, Probation Officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentence that takes such conduct into consideration. Such a sentence could include a sentencing enhancement under the United States Sentencing Guidelines or an upward departure from the applicable sentencing guidelines range.
- 20. BOP Placement. The United States does not object to any request that Defendant might make at the time of sentencing that the Court recommend that he serve any term of imprisonment at FDC Sheridan, even if this results in Defendant serving any term of imprisonment at the same facility with Tobias Grace.

H//

H

 $/\!/$

11

11

HH

ì	1
2	
.3	
4	
5	$\ $
6	
7	
8	
9	
()	
ll	
12	
13	
1 - 1	
15	
6	
7	
8	
9	
2()	
2]	
!2	
23	
24	
15	
26	

28

21. Completeness of Agreement. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties. This Agreement only binds the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.

DATED this 23 day of February, 2006.

D**éf**endant

D TROBERMAN Attorney for Defendant

nt United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Assistant United States Autorney